Current Biology

Genomic Analysis of Demographic History and Ecological Niche Modeling in the Endangered Sumatran Rhinoceros *Dicerorhinus sumatrensis*

Highlights

- This study reports the first whole-genome sequence for the Sumatran rhinoceros
- The Sumatran rhinoceros underwent large population fluctuations during the Pleistocene
- Pleistocene climate change dramatically influenced the available habitat
- Changes in population may have been due to population decline and/or fragmentation

Authors

Herman L. Mays, Jr., Chih-Ming Hung, Pei-Jen Shaner, ..., Jun Fan, Swanthana Rekulapally, Donald A. Primerano

Correspondence

maysh@marshall.edu

In Brief

Mays et al. report the first genome sequence for the Sumatran rhinoceros. Genomic analysis reveals a fluctuating population history, ending at low levels by the end of the Pleistocene. Ecological niche models suggest that changing climate during the Pleistocene influenced habitat availability and most likely led to declining or fragmented populations.





Genomic Analysis of Demographic History and Ecological Niche Modeling in the Endangered Sumatran Rhinoceros *Dicerorhinus sumatrensis*

Herman L. Mays, Jr.,^{1,2,10,*} Chih-Ming Hung,³ Pei-Jen Shaner,⁴ James Denvir,⁵ Megan Justice,^{5,8} Shang-Fang Yang,³ Terri L. Roth,⁶ David A. Oehler,⁷ Jun Fan,⁵ Swanthana Rekulapally,^{5,9} and Donald A. Primerano⁵

¹Marshall University, Department of Biological Sciences, Huntington, WV 25755, USA

²Cincinnati Museum Center, Cincinnati, OH 45203, USA

³Academia Sinica, Biodiversity Research Center, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

⁴National Taiwan Normal University, Department of Life Sciences, Taipei 116, Taiwan

⁵Marshall University, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Huntington, WV 25755, USA

⁶Cincinnati Zoo and Botanical Garden, Center for Conservation and Research of Endangered Wildlife, Cincinnati, OH 45220, USA

⁷Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx Zoo, New York, NY 10460, USA

⁸Present address: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, School of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

⁹Present address: North Carolina Central University, Biomedical/Biotechnology Research Institute, Durham, NC 27707, USA ¹⁰Lead Contact

*Correspondence: maysh@marshall.edu

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.021

SUMMARY

The vertebrate extinction rate over the past century is approximately 22-100 times greater than background extinction rates [1], and large mammals are particularly at risk [2, 3]. Quaternary megafaunal extinctions have been attributed to climate change [4], overexploitation [5], or a combination of the two [6]. Rhinoceroses (Family: Rhinocerotidae) have a rich fossil history replete with iconic examples of climate-induced extinctions [7], but current pressures threaten to eliminate this group entirely. The Sumatran rhinoceros (Dicerorhinus sumatrensis) is among the most imperiled mammals on earth. The 2011 population was estimated at \leq 216 wild individuals [8], and currently the species is extirpated, or nearly so, throughout the majority of its former range [8-12]. Understanding demographic history is important in placing current population status into a broader ecological and evolutionary context. Analysis of the Sumatran rhinoceros genome reveals extreme changes in effective population size throughout the Pleistocene. Population expansion during the early to middle Pleistocene was followed by decline. Ecological niche modeling indicated that changing climate most likely played a role in the decline of the Sumatran rhinoceros, as less suitable habitat on an emergent Sundaland corridor isolated Sumatran rhinoceros populations. By the end of the Pleistocene, the Sundaland corridor was submerged, and populations were fragmented and consequently reduced to low Holocene levels from which they would never recover. Past events denuded the Sumatran rhinoceros of genetic diversity through population decline, fragmentation, or some combination of the two and most likely made the species even more susceptible to later exploitation and habitat loss.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genomic coalescent analyses allow for hypothesis testing regarding demographic history, an approach that is particularly useful when studying recently extinct or highly endangered species, where sampling is often extremely limited [13]. Studies have shown that currently imperiled or recently extinct species tend to have experienced long-term population decline [14, 15] or have a relatively low effective population size (Ne) caused by dramatic population fluctuation [16]. It is of biological and conservation importance to examine the driving forces behind these historical changes in populations. Climate is likely to be a causal factor in shaping population dynamics of many species [6, 17]. Populations denuded of genetic diversity by past climate fluctuations are especially vulnerable to current exploitation and habitat degradation [16]. To address questions at the intersection of climate and population change, we coupled a demographic analysis using a pairwise sequential Markovian coalescent (PSMC) method based on whole-genome sequencing with ecological niche models (ENMs) to elucidate the demographic history of the Sumatran rhinoceros as it relates to past climate change (see STAR Methods).

Our study reports the first draft genome assembly for the Sumatran rhinoceros. Jellyfish 2.2.3 [18] supported a genome size of 2.53 Gb sequenced at a peak coverage of 46×. Our estimated genome size is broadly congruent with other estimates of genome size in the Perissodactyla (http://www.genomesize.com) [19]. Heterozygosity was low (approximately 1.3 single-nucleotide polymorphism [SNP] sites per 1,000 bp





Figure 1. Demographic History of the Sumatran Rhinoceros

The PSMC analysis is applied to the genomic sequences of the Sumatran rhinoceros converted to demographic units (individuals and years) assuming a generation time of g = 12 years and a substitution rate of $\mu = 1.95 \times 10^{-9}$ substitutions/ site/year (2.34 \times 10⁻⁸ substitutions/site/generation). The x axis indicates time before present in years on a log scale, and the y axis indicates the effective population size. The bold grav curve shows the estimate based on original data, and the light grav curves show the estimates for 100 bootstrapped sequences. The two grav shaded areas indicate the last glacial period (LGP) and the last interglacial period (LIG) and the dashed line demarcates the approximate time of the last glacial maximum (LGM). See also Figure S1.

of autosomal sequence) and was comparable to that found in whole-genome studies in recently extinct mammals [17, 20] and approaching that of inbred domestic species such as the horse (*Equus caballus*) [21].

Prior studies place the Sumatran rhinoceros within the dicerorhine Eurasian rhinoceroses with close evolutionary affiliations with the woolly rhinoceroses (Coelodonta spp.) and Stephanorhinus spp. [7, 22, 23]. Fossils from Myanmar attributed to Dicerorhinus have been dated to the middle to late Pliocene [24] and fossils from Guangxi, China, have been dated to the early Pleistocene [25]. Earlier fossils attributed to Dicerorhinus most likely belong to other dicerorhine genera, such as Stephanorhinus [23]. Fossil evidence therefore suggests that Dicerorhinus originated in Northern Indochina and South China during the middle to late Pliocene, with at least one lineage eventually expanding southward into Indochina and Sundaland during a period when the landmasses in the region were emergent and in their present-day configurations [26]. After the Pliocene, the region was periodically submerged, isolating terrestrial biotas [27]. PSMC analysis of the Sumatran rhinoceros genome complements this fossil record with a demographic history derived from genomic data.

The PSMC analyses revealed the population dynamics of the Sumatran rhinoceros from approximately 7 Ma to 1 ka (Figures 1 and S1; Table 1). PSMC analyses based on all scaffolds and autosomal scaffolds returned similar results, and therefore we only reported the results for the latter. Sumatran rhinoceros populations most likely experienced substantial population fluctuations since the beginning of the Pleistocene (2.58 Ma). The degree and timing of these fluctuations depended on estimates of substitution rate and generation time, but the trend in Pleistocene population change was similar across separate analyses. Applying a substitution rate of 2.34 × 10⁻⁸ substitutions/site/generation [28] and a generation time of 12 years [29], we estimated a peak N_e (rounded to the nearest 100 individuals) of 57,800 occurring approximately 950 ka, a minimal N_e of 700 occurring approximately 9 ka, and a net drop in N_e of 31,200

across the Pleistocene (Figure 1; Table 1). Separate PSMC analyses based on upper and lower estimates of substitution rate from the literature [13, 30, 31] revealed a peak N_e (41,000–112,800) sometime during the early to middle Pleistocene and a minimal N_e (500–1,300) by the end of the Pleistocene (Figure S1; Table 1). Population decline characterized Sumatran rhinoceros populations throughout most of the middle to late Pleistocene (Figures 1 and S1; Table 1).

An increase in Ne occurring during the early to middle Pleistocene is indicative of a demographic expansion that most likely co-occurred with a range expansion of the Sumatran rhinoceros from an ancestral, more northerly Asian distribution into Southeast Asia and Sundaland. The expansion of the Sumatran rhinoceros across an exposed Sundaland would correspond to similar expansions of continental mammals into the region. By the middle Pleistocene, continental fauna replaced many island taxa that evolved in isolation during the early Pleistocene [32], and PSMC analyses suggest that the Sumatran rhinoceros was also part of this early to middle Pleistocene invasion of Sundaland. After this early to middle Pleistocene demographic expansion were dramatic population fluctuations throughout the remainder of the Pleistocene often occurring in association with climate and/or sea-level changes. Population fluctuations might explain relatively low and long-term decline in Ne of the Sumatran rhinoceros from middle to late Pleistocene [16].

The duration of the last glacial period (LGP, ca. 10–120 ka) [27] and the transition between the Pleistocene and the Holocene coincides with dramatic population changes in many species. Genomic analyses reveal abrupt declines in N_e associated with the end of the LGP for many north temperate and arctic megafauna [17, 31, 33, 34] or steady declines throughout the LGP [35]. Genomic studies of other species, including sub-tropical and tropical species, also suggest declines in N_e during the LGP for crocodilians [36], birds [15, 16], and mammals [13, 14]. Nadachowska-Bryska et al. [15] found that the LGP coincided with significant declines in N_e for 22 of 38 avian species studied.

Table 1. Effective Population Size over Time						
Substitutions/Site/ Generation	Minimum N _e (Time of Minimum N _e in ka)	Maximum N _e (Time of Maximum N _e in ka)	N _e at 12 ka	<i>N_e</i> at 2.58 Ma	Net Change in <i>N_e</i> during the Pleistocene	
1.2 × 10 ⁻⁸	1,300 (17)	112,800 (1,800)	1,300	55,300	-54,000	
2.34 × 10 ⁻⁸	700 (9)	57,800 (950)	3,600	34,800	-31,200	
3.3 × 10 ⁻⁸	500 (6.5)	41,000 (650)	2,300	30,800	-28,500	
Effective population s	ize (N_e) variation across thre	e PSMC analyses using diffe	erent estimates of	the per-generation s	ubstitution rate and a generation	
time of g = 12. All population sizes are rounded to the nearest 100 individuals (see also Figure S1).						

The LGP was likewise a period of population decline for the Sumatran rhinoceros ending at their current and minimal N_e by the Pleistocene-Holocene boundary.

Comparisons among studies of demographic changes based on PSMC are fraught with assumptions. Although the shape of the N_e curve remains consistent, magnitude and timing of changes in N_e are biased by both substitution rate and generation time [15]. Substitution rates used in the analyses are estimates derived from studies of other large mammals [13, 28, 30, 31] and represent a source of variation in the PSMC analyses in estimating the timing and magnitude of the N_e curve.

PSMC analyses reveal a low recent estimate of N_e for the Sumatran rhinoceros that has remained low since the end of the LGP (Figures 1 and S2; Table 1). Population declines due to recent human exploitation and habitat loss are most likely acting on a population denuded of genetic diversity during the Pleistocene. However, PSMC is a poor indicator of very recent N_e , given the comparatively small sample size associated with very recent coalescent events [13]. Future studies using coalescent approaches that incorporate variation across multiple genomes [37] would aid in corroborating these patterns. However, given the paucity of wild rhinoceros samples in general and the deliberate inbred nature of the captive Sumatran rhinoceros population, obtaining multiple genetically independent samples for sequencing in this species is challenging.

ENMs suggest that past climate change may have contributed significantly to the population dynamics of the Sumatran rhinoceros. Predicted present-day distributions of the Sumatran rhinoceros are similar between the "all occurrences" (*D. sumatrensis* and *Rhinoceros* spp.; Figure 2A) and "SR occurrences" (*D. sumatrensis*; Figure 2D) datasets and are in general agreement with their current distribution [11, 38]. Predicted present-day distribution of the subspecies *D. s. sumatrensis* ("DSS occurrences"; Figure 2G) is restricted to Sumatra and the Malay Peninsula and does not extend to other areas within the Sundaland region (e.g., Borneo and Java). This pattern is consistent with the known distribution of this subspecies and suggests that climatic conditions alone may be sufficient to limit range expansion of *D. s. sumatrensis*.

All three ENMs for the Sumatran rhinoceros (all occurrences, SR occurrences, and DSS occurrences) revealed significant changes in predicted distributions associated with Pleistocene climate change from the last interglacial (LIG) [39] through the last glacial maximum (LGM) [27, 40] to present day (Figure 2). The central Sundaland corridor was submerged at the end of the LGP, creating a western refugium in Sumatra and an eastern refugium in Borneo [41]. Predicted distributions are similar between the LIG (Figures 2C, 2F, and 2I) and present

day (Figures 2A, 2D, and 2G), both of which are smaller and more fragmented than that during the LGM (Figures 2B, 2E, and 2H). Predicted present-day distributions fall predominantly within tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forest for all three ENMs (Table S2). Predicted LGM distributions were concentrated in the Sundaland region (Figures 2B, 2E, and 2H), and the highest proportion of LGM distributions were associated with tropical grassland followed by monsoon and dry forest and tropical forest. However, for the DSS model, 32% of predicted LGM distribution fell within tropical forest, indicating that for this subspecies, tropical forest closely rivals tropical grassland as the vegetation found in the most suitable climate niche during the LGM (Table S2). If forest cover restricts the ecological niche, at least for the subspecies D. s. sumatrensis, their LGM distribution would have been greatly reduced and become highly fragmented (Figure 2; Table S2). For instance, removing the "tropical grassland" in central Sundaland reduced predicted LGM distributions by 21%-34% (Figure S2; Table S2). The rise in sea level, particularly in the Sundaland region [41], also reduced the predicted distributions for the Sumatran rhinoceros from the LGM to present day by 25%-39% (Figure 2; Table S2).

Among the dicerorhine rhinoceroses only the Sumatran rhinoceros is known as a tropical forest species with the rest being primarily or exclusively open woodland, grassland, and savannah species with more temperate distributions [7, 22, 23]. Modern Sumatran rhinoceroses typically have a preference for secondary forest and in some locales are associated with riparian, disturbed, and even edge habitat [12, 42]. Given the close evolutionary relationships between the Sumatran rhinoceros and more temperate, grassland, and open forest species, the ancestral preferred habitat for the Sumatran rhinoceros when it expanded into Southeast Asia during the early Pleistocene may have been more open, with populations adapting to more forested habitats over time.

A broad north-south savannah corridor may have extended through Sundaland during the late Pleistocene [43–46] (Figure S2). This belt of open vegetation running through central Sundaland between what are now the islands of Sumatra and Borneo has been under some debate [44, 47]. However, limited migration during the LGP between west (Sumatra) and east (Borneo) Sundaland has been suggested for mammals [48], snakes and frogs [49], and rainforest termites [44]. Divergence among these taxa within Sundaland is most likely due to vicariance events that predate the Pleistocene, indicating that the Sundaland corridor acted as a barrier to dispersal for many taxa. The Sundaland savannah corridor may have been a dynamic, mosaic landscape comprising both open and closed vegetation habitats [45, 46]. Whether such mosaic landscape



Figure 2. Predicted Distributions of the Sumatran Rhinoceros

All occurrences (top) include *Dicerorhinus sumatrensis* and *Rhinoceros* spp., SR occurrences (middle) include *D. sumatrensis*, and DSS occurrences (bottom) include SR occurrences from Sumatra and Peninsula Malay (*D. s. sumatrensis*). Occurrences for *Rhinoceros* spp. are denoted with an x, and known Sumatran rhinoceros occurrences are denoted with open circles. Fossil records attributed to the Sumatran rhinoceros are denoted by triangles. A grid is overlaid on the maps in the second column to denote emergent land during the last glacial maximum (LGM). The areas with suitability scores lower than the minimum training presence threshold are considered "not suitable." The land submerged post-LGM are the areas approximately 120 m below sea level on the bathymetric map. See also Figures S2 and S3 and Tables S2 and S3.

was part of the niche for any species in the genus *Dicerorhinus*, Sumatran rhinoceros *sensu lato*, or the Sumatran/Malay Peninsula subspecies (*D. s. sumatrensis*) during the LGP is unclear.

Given the strong favoring of tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forest in all three present-day ENMs and known habitat preferences [12, 42], favorable climate may not have been associated with favorable vegetation during the LGM. In addition, PSMC analyses revealed demographic decline throughout the LGP, suggesting that the central Sundaland corridor may have functioned as a "soft" barrier to dispersal for Sumatran rhinoceros populations in Sumatra/Malay Peninsula and Borneo that would in effect promote population divergence [50]. Contraction of lowland and upland tropical forest during the LGP has resulted in the current refugial state of these habitats and most likely contributed to population bottlenecks in many Sundaland species [51]. The concordance between the contractions of predicted distributions and genetic evidence of a declining population throughout the LGP suggests a role for climate in the reduction of Sumatran rhinoceros populations by the end of the Pleistocene to levels from which they would never recover.

Distinguishing population declines from population structuring is difficult using PSMC [33]. The Sumatran rhinoceros has been historically divided into three subspecies: a historically extinct *D. s. lasiotis* occurring in Northern Indochina, South China, Myanmar, and far eastern India; *D. s. sumatrensis* on the Malay Peninsula and Sumatra; and *D. s. harrissoni* on the island of Borneo [42, 50, 52]. The latter two subspecies are most likely the descendants of populations trapped in refugia either during the LGP when a drier central Sundaland corridor acted as a barrier to dispersal, by the end of the LGP, or during earlier interglacial periods when the corridor was submerged. *D. s. lasiotis*, however, may have been isolated from other populations since the LIG, when large portions of Indochina were unsuitable in terms of climatic conditions (Figures 2C and 2F). The ENM analysis restricted to occurrences of *D. s. sumatrensis* (the subspecies

from which our genome data were derived) is the model showing the most dramatic contraction of predicted distribution due to the inundation of the Sundaland corridor. Therefore, the conclusion that climate played a role in population decline is at least strongly suggested for *D. s. sumatrensis*, if not for the entire species.

Climate, however, is not the only potential cause of extinctions and population declines at the Pleistocene-Holocene boundary. Depredation and habitat changes by expanding Homo sapiens populations are implicated in the extinctions of many megafaunal species [5, 53]. Excavations at the Niah cave site on the island of Borneo reveals that forest was cleared by humans for cultivation during the Holocene [54] and that humans hunted local animals, including the Sumatran rhinoceros, as early as the late Pleistocene [55]. Hunting by Pleistocene humans in Southeast Asia has been implicated in the extirpation of orangutans (Pongo spp.) from parts of their range and the extinction of Stegodon and the giant pangolin (Manis palaeojavanica) [56]. It is likely that recent human exploitation and habitat loss have been acting on Sumatran rhinoceros populations already denuded of genetic diversity since the Pleistocene and have thus accelerated their extinction trajectory.

Coupling analyses from genome data and ENM is a powerful tool in elucidating the patterns and process associated with past demographic changes in populations. For critically endangered species, this approach may provide a more objective ecological and evolutionary context for designing conservation strategies. We hope our genome sequence may serve as a reference for broader population genomics in this imperiled species.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT RESOURCES AND SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Genome sequencing
 - Genome assembly
 - Occurrence data for ecological niche modeling
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
 - Demographic analysis using PSMC
 - Ecological niche modeling
- DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes three figures and three tables and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.021. A video abstract is available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.11. 021#mmc3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to John Goering and Robert Lindner, Jr., for their generous support. Thanks to Glenn Storrs, Jay Kalagayan and Elizabeth Pierce of Cincinnati Museum Center for facilitating the acquisition of donor support. Thanks to David Might, David Noem, Loree Celebreeze, Chris Moran, Dorinda Whitsett, and Cameron Mays for preparing the voucher specimen and Peijia Tsai for aid with the PSMC analyses. Thanks to Haowen Tong of the Chinese Academy of Sciences for verifying fossil occurrences in China. Robin O'Keefe and two anonymous reviewers provided helpful comments on the manuscript. This work used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) supported by National Science Foundation grant number ACI-1053575. DNA sequencing was performed at the Marshall University Genomics Core Facility. The Marshall University Genomics Core Facility. The Marshall University Genomics Core Facility is supported in part by NIH/NIGMS grant number P20GM103434, which funds the IDeA WV-INBRE program. This paper is dedicated to the staff of the Cincinnati Zoo and Botanical Garden who cared for Ipuh during his 22 years in Cincinnati.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.L.M. conceived the study and contributed to lab work, genome analysis, and drafting of the manuscript. C.-M.H. contributed to the PSMC analysis and drafting of the manuscript. P.-J S. contributed to the ENM analysis and drafting of the manuscript. J.D. contributed to genome assembly and analysis and drafting of the manuscript. M. J. contributed to genome assembly and analysis and genome data archiving. S-F.Y. contributed to the PSMC analysis. T.L.R. contributed to acquiring the samples, the ENM analysis, and drafting of the manuscript. D.A.O. contributed to acquiring the samples, and drafting of the manuscript. J.F. contributed to the lab work associated with genome sequencing. S.R. contributed to genome assembly and analysis. D.A.P. contributed to the lab work associated with genome sequencing. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Received: August 30, 2017 Revised: October 11, 2017 Accepted: November 7, 2017 Published: December 14, 2017

REFERENCES

- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., Barnosky, A.D., García, A., Pringle, R.M., and Palmer, T.M. (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: entering the sixth mass extinction. Sci. Adv. 1, e1400253.
- Cardillo, M., Mace, G.M., Jones, K.E., Bielby, J., Bininda-Emonds, O.R., Sechrest, W., Orme, C.D., and Purvis, A. (2005). Multiple causes of high extinction risk in large mammal species. Science 309, 1239–1241.
- Ripple, W.J., Newsome, T.M., Wolf, C., Dirzo, R., Everatt, K.T., Galetti, M., Hayward, M.W., Kerley, G.I., Levi, T., Lindsey, P.A., et al. (2015). Collapse of the world's largest herbivores. Sci. Adv. 1, e1400103.
- Cooper, A., Turney, C., Hughen, K.A., Brook, B.W., McDonald, H.G., and Bradshaw, C.J. (2015). Abrupt warming events drove Late Pleistocene Holarctic megafaunal turnover. Science 349, 602–606.
- Alroy, J. (2001). A multispecies overkill simulation of the end-Pleistocene megafaunal mass extinction. Science 292, 1893–1896.
- Lorenzen, E.D., Nogués-Bravo, D., Orlando, L., Weinstock, J., Binladen, J., Marske, K.A., Ugan, A., Borregaard, M.K., Gilbert, M.T.P., Nielsen, R., et al. (2011). Species-specific responses of Late Quaternary megafauna to climate and humans. Nature 479, 359–364.
- Deng, T., Wang, X., Fortelius, M., Li, Q., Wang, Y., Tseng, Z.J., Takeuchi, G.T., Saylor, J.E., Säilä, L.K., and Xie, G. (2011). Out of Tibet: Pliocene woolly rhino suggests high-plateau origin of Ice Age megaherbivores. Science 333, 1285–1288.
- Ahmad Zafir, A.W., Payne, J., Mohamed, A., Lau, C.F., Sharma, D.S.K., Alfred, R., Williams, A.C., Nathan, S., Ramono, W.S., and Clements, G.R. (2011). Now or never: what will it take to save the Sumatran rhinoceros Dicerorhinus sumatrensis from extinction? Oryx 45, 225–233.
- Meijaard, E. (1996). The Sumatran rhinoceros in Kalimantan, Indonesia: its possible distribution and conservation prospects. Pachyderm 21, 15–23.
- Choudhury, A. (1997). The status of the Sumatran rhinoceros in northeastern India. Oryx 31, 151–152.

- Antoine, P.-O. (2012). Pleistocene and Holocene rhinocerotids (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from the Indochinese Peninsula. C. R. Palevol. 11, 159–168.
- Pusparini, W., Sievert, P.R., Fuller, T.K., Randhir, T.O., and Andayani, N. (2015). Rhinos in the parks: an island-wide survey of the last wild population of the Sumatran rhinoceros. PLoS ONE 10, 0136643.
- 13. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. Nature 475, 493–496.
- 14. Zhao, S., Zheng, P., Dong, S., Zhan, X., Wu, Q., Guo, X., Hu, Y., He, W., Zhang, S., Fan, W., et al. (2013). Whole-genome sequencing of giant pandas provides insights into demographic history and local adaptation. Nat. Genet. 45, 67–71.
- Nadachowska-Brzyska, K., Li, C., Smeds, L., Zhang, G., and Ellegren, H. (2015). Temporal dynamics of avian populations during Pleistocene revealed by whole-genome sequences. Curr. Biol. 25, 1375–1380.
- Hung, C.M., Shaner, P.J., Zink, R.M., Liu, W.C., Chu, T.C., Huang, W.S., and Li, S.H. (2014). Drastic population fluctuations explain the rapid extinction of the passenger pigeon. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *111*, 10636–10641.
- Palkopoulou, E., Mallick, S., Skoglund, P., Enk, J., Rohland, N., Li, H., Omrak, A., Vartanyan, S., Poinar, H., Götherström, A., et al. (2015). Complete genomes reveal signatures of demographic and genetic declines in the woolly mammoth. Curr. Biol. 25, 1395–1400.
- Marçais, G., and Kingsford, C. (2011). A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of occurrences of k-mers. Bioinformatics 27, 764–770.
- Krishan, A., Dandekar, P., Nathan, N., Hamelik, R., Miller, C., and Shaw, J. (2005). DNA index, genome size, and electronic nuclear volume of vertebrates from the Miami Metro Zoo. Cytometry A 65, 26–34.
- Rogers, R.L., and Slatkin, M. (2017). Excess of genomic defects in a woolly mammoth on Wrangel island. PLoS Genet. 13, e1006601.
- Wade, C.M., Giulotto, E., Sigurdsson, S., Zoli, M., Gnerre, S., Imsland, F., Lear, T.L., Adelson, D.L., Bailey, E., Bellone, R.R., et al.; Broad Institute Genome Sequencing Platform; Broad Institute Whole Genome Assembly Team (2009). Genome sequence, comparative analysis, and population genetics of the domestic horse. Science 326, 865–867.
- Orlando, L., Leonard, J.A., Thenot, A., Laudet, V., Guerin, C., and Hänni, C. (2003). Ancient DNA analysis reveals woolly rhino evolutionary relationships. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 28, 485–499.
- Tong, H.-W. (2012). Evolution of the non-Coelodonta dicerorhine lineage in China. C. R. Palevol. 11, 555–562.
- 24. Maung-Thein, Z.-M., Takai, M., Tsubamoto, T., Egi, N., Thaung, H., Nishimura, T., Maung, M., and Zaw, W. (2010). A review of fossil rhinoceroses from the Neogene of Myanmar with description of new specimens from the Irrawaddy Sediments. J. Asian Earth Sci. 37, 154–165.
- Tong, H.-W., and Guérin, C. (2009). Early Pleistocene Dicerorhinus sumatrensis remains from the Liucheng Gigantopithecus Cave, Guangxi, China. Geobios 42, 525–539.
- Hall, R. (1998). Plate tectonics of Cenozoic SE Asia and the distribution of land and sea. In Biogeography and Geological Evolution of SE Asia, R. Hall, and J.D. Holloway, eds. (Backhuys Publishers), pp. 99–131.
- Rohling, E.J., Fenton, M., Jorissen, F.J., Bertrand, P., Ganssen, G., and Caulet, J.P. (1998). Magnitudes of sea-level lowstands of the past 500,000 years. Nature 394, 162–165.
- 28. Liu, G.E., Matukumalli, L.K., Sonstegard, T.S., Shade, L.L., and Van Tassell, C.P. (2006). Genomic divergences among cattle, dog and human estimated from large-scale alignments of genomic sequences. BMC Genomics 7, 140.
- Roth, T.L., Reinhart, P.R., Romo, J.S., Candra, D., Suhaery, A., and Stoops, M.A. (2013). Sexual maturation in the Sumatran rhinoceros (*Dicerorhinus sumatrensis*). Zoo Biol. 32, 549–555.
- Goto, H., Ryder, O.A., Fisher, A.R., Schultz, B., Kosakovsky Pond, S.L., Nekrutenko, A., and Makova, K.D. (2011). A massively parallel sequencing

approach uncovers ancient origins and high genetic variability of endangered Przewalski's horses. Genome Biol. Evol. 3, 1096–1106.

- Orlando, L., Ginolhac, A., Zhang, G., Froese, D., Albrechtsen, A., Stiller, M., Schubert, M., Cappellini, E., Petersen, B., Moltke, I., et al. (2013). Recalibrating Equus evolution using the genome sequence of an early Middle Pleistocene horse. Nature 499, 74–78.
- van den Berg, G.D., de Vos, J., and Sondaar, P.Y. (2001). The Late Quaternary palaeogeography of mammal evolution in the Indonesian Archipelago. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 171, 382–408.
- 33. Gautier, M., Moazami-Goudarzi, K., Levéziel, H., Parinello, H., Grohs, C., Rialle, S., Kowalczyk, R., and Flori, L. (2016). Deciphering the wisent demographic and adaptive histories from individual whole-genome sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 2801–2814.
- 34. Miller, W., Schuster, S.C., Welch, A.J., Ratan, A., Bedoya-Reina, O.C., Zhao, F., Kim, H.L., Burhans, R.C., Drautz, D.I., Wittekindt, N.E., et al. (2012). Polar and brown bear genomes reveal ancient admixture and demographic footprints of past climate change. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109*, E2382–E2390.
- 35. Groenen, M.A., Archibald, A.L., Uenishi, H., Tuggle, C.K., Takeuchi, Y., Rothschild, M.F., Rogel-Gaillard, C., Park, C., Milan, D., Megens, H.J., et al. (2012). Analyses of pig genomes provide insight into porcine demography and evolution. Nature 491, 393–398.
- 36. Green, R.E., Braun, E.L., Armstrong, J., Earl, D., Nguyen, N., Hickey, G., Vandewege, M.W., St John, J.A., Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Castoe, T.A., et al. (2014). Three crocodilian genomes reveal ancestral patterns of evolution among archosaurs. Science 346, 1254449.
- Sheehan, S., Harris, K., and Song, Y.S. (2013). Estimating variable effective population sizes from multiple genomes: a sequentially markov conditional sampling distribution approach. Genetics 194, 647–662.
- Foose, T.J., and van Strien, N.J., eds. (1997). Asian Rhinos: Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan (IUCN).
- Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Marshall, S.J., Overpeck, J.T., Miller, G.H., and Hu, A. (2006). Simulating Arctic climate warmth and icefield retreat in the last interglaciation. Science 311, 1751–1753.
- Clark, P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.X., Hostetler, S.W., and McCabe, A.M. (2009). The last glacial maximum. Science 325, 710–714.
- Sathiamurthy, E., and Voris, H.K. (2006). Maps of Holocene sea level transgression and submerged lakes on the Sunda Shelf. Nat. Hist. J. Chulalongkorn Univ. S2, 1–43.
- Dinerstein, E. (2011). Family: Rhinocerotidae (Rhinoceroses). In Handbook of Mammals of the World, Volume 2, Hoofed Mammals, D.E. Wilson, and R.A. Mittermeier, eds. (Lynx Edicions), pp. 144–181.
- Heaney, L.R. (1991). A synopsis of climatic and vegetational change in Southeast Asia. Clim. Change 19, 53–61.
- Gathorne-Hardy, F.J., Syaukani, Davies, R.G., Eggleton, P., and Jones, D.T. (2002). Quaternary rainforest refugia in south-east Asia: using termites (Isoptera) as indicators. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. 75, 453–466.
- 45. Meijaard, E. (2004). Solving mammalian riddles: a reconstruction of the Tertiary and Quaternary distribution of mammals and their palaeoenvironments in island South-East Asia. PhD thesis (The Australian National University).
- 46. Bird, M.I., Taylor, D., and Hunt, C. (2005). Palaeoenvironments of insular Southeast Asia during the Last Glacial Period: a savanna corridor in Sundaland? Quat. Sci. Rev. 24, 2228–2242.
- 47. Wurster, C.M., Bird, M.I., Bull, I.D., Creed, F., Bryant, C., Dungait, J.A., and Paz, V. (2010). Forest contraction in north equatorial Southeast Asia during the Last Glacial Period. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 15508–15511.
- Brandon-Jones, D. (1996). The Asian Colobinae (Mammalia: Cercopithecidae) as indicators of Quaternary climatic change. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. 59, 327–350.
- Inger, R., and Voris, H. (2001). The biogeographical relations of the frogs and snakes of Sundaland. J. Biogeogr. 28, 863–891.

- Morales, J.C., Andau, P.M., Supriatna, J., Zainuddin, Z.Z., and Melnick, D. (1997). Mitochondrial DNA variability and conservation genetics of the Sumatran Rhinoceros. Conserv. Genet. *11*, 539–543.
- Cannon, C.H., Morley, R.J., and Bush, A.B. (2009). The current refugial rainforests of Sundaland are unrepresentative of their biogeographic past and highly vulnerable to disturbance. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106, 11188–11193.
- Wilson, D.E., and Reeder, D.M. (2005). Mammal Species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference (Johns Hopkins University Press).
- Miller, G.H., Fogel, M.L., Magee, J.W., Gagan, M.K., Clarke, S.J., and Johnson, B.J. (2005). Ecosystem collapse in Pleistocene Australia and a human role in megafaunal extinction. Science 309, 287–290.
- Hunt, C.O., and Rushworth, G. (2017). Cultivation and human impact at 6000 cal yr B.P. in tropical lowland forest at Niah, Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo. Quat. Res. 64, 460–468.
- Piper, P.J., and Rabett, R.J. (2009). Hunting in a tropical rainforest: evidence from the Terminal Pleistocene at Lobang Hangus, Niah Caves, Sarawak. Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. 19, 551–565.
- Corlett, R.T. (2007). The impact of hunting on the mammalian fauna of tropical Asian forests. Biotropica 39, 292–303.
- Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30, 2114–2120.
- Chikhi, R., and Medvedev, P. (2014). Informed and automated k-mer size selection for genome assembly. Bioinformatics 30, 31–37.
- Love, R.R., Weisenfeld, N.I., Jaffe, D.B., Besansky, N.J., and Neafsey, D.E. (2016). Evaluation of DISCOVAR de novo using a mosquito sample for cost-effective short-read genome assembly. BMC Genomics 17, 187.
- Luo, R., Liu, B., Xie, Y., Li, Z., Huang, W., Yuan, J., He, G., Chen, Y., Pan, Q., Liu, Y., et al. (2012). SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memoryefficient short-read de novo assembler. Gigascience 1, 18.
- Towns, J., Cockerill, T., Dahan, M., Foster, I., Gaither, K., Grimshaw, A., Hazlewood, V., Lathrop, S., Lifka, D., Peterson, G.D., et al. (2014). XSEDE: accelerating scientific discovery. Comput. Sci. Eng. 16, 62–74.
- Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25, 1754–1760.
- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., and Madden, T.L. (2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10, 421.
- 64. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R.; 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079.
- 65. Barnett, D.W., Garrison, E.K., Quinlan, A.R., Strömberg, M.P., and Marth, G.T. (2011). BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. Bioinformatics 27, 1691–1692.

- 66. McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella, K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., and DePristo, M.A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20, 1297–1303.
- Phillips, S., Anderson, R., and Schapire, R. (2006). Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol. Modell. 190, 231–259.
- Laurie, A. (1982). Behavioural ecology of the greater one-horned rhinoceros (*Rhinoceros unicornis*). J. Zool. 196, 307–341.
- Wang, Z., Zhao, W., and Sun, G. (1993). The eco-environmental model of rhinoceros extinction in China. Pol. Ecol. Stud. 19, 29–34.
- Wang, Z., Xu, F., and Sun, G. (1997). A preliminary analysis of the relationship between the extinction of rhinoceros and human population pressure in China. Acta Ecol. Sin. 17, 640–644.
- Tong, H. (2000). Les rhinoceros des sites a fossiles humains de Chine. Anthropologie 104, 523–529.
- Xu, Z.-F. (2000). The effects of paying tribute to the imperial court in the history of rhinoceros extinction and elephant endangerment in southern Yunnan. Chinese Biodiversity 8, 112–119.
- Tong, H. (2001). Age profiles of rhino fauna from the Middle Pleistocene Nanjing man site, south China explained by the rhino specimens of living species. Int. J. Osteoarchaeol. *11*, 231–237.
- Rookmaker, K. (2006). Distribution and extinction of the rhinoceros in China: review of recent Chinese publications. Pachyderm 40, 102–106.
- 75. DePristo, M.A., Banks, E., Poplin, R., Garimella, K.V., Maguire, J.R., Hartl, C., Philippakis, A.A., del Angel, G., Rivas, M.A., Hanna, M., et al. (2011). A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat. Genet. 43, 491–498.
- Kumar, S., and Subramanian, S. (2002). Mutation rates in mammalian genomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 803–808.
- Hijmans, R., Cameron, S., Parra, J., Jones, P., and Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 25, 1965–1978.
- Elith, J., Kearney, M., and Phillips, S. (2010). The art of modelling rangeshifting species. Methods Ecol. Evol. 1, 330–342.
- 79. Barve, N. (2008). Tool for Partial-ROC, version 1.0 (Biodiversity Institute).
- 80. Olson, D.M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E.D., Burgess, N.D., Powell, G.V.N., Underwood, E.C., D'Amico, J.A., Itoua, I., Strand, H.E., Morrison, J.C., et al. (2001). Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: a new map of life on Earth: a new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an innovative tool for conserving biodiversity. Bioscience 51, 933–938.
- Ray, N., and Adams, J.M. (2001). A GIS-based vegetation map of the world at the last glacial maximum (25,000 - 15,000 BP). Internet Archaeol. 11, https://doi.org/10.11141/ia.11.2.

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Biological Samples		
Dicerorhinus sumatrensis sumatrensis	Cincinnati Museum Center	CMC: M4249
Critical Commercial Assays	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TruSeq DNA PCR-Free LT Library Preparation Kit (24 samples)	Illumina	20015962
Nextera Mate Pair Library Prep Kit (12 indexes, 48 gel-free samples or 12 gel-plus samples)	Illumina	FC-132-1001
HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit v2	Illumina	PE-402-4002
HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2	Illumina	FC-402-4023
Deposited Data		
Whole genome shotgun sequence assembly	This paper	GenBank: PEKH0000000
Raw whole genome sequencing reads	This paper	SRA: PRJNA415733
Sumatran Rhinoceros occurrence dataset	This paper	Dryad: 10.5061/dryad.2jp32
Software and Algorithms		
Trimmomatic 0.33	[57]	http://www.usadellab.org/cms/?page=trimmomatic; RRID: SCR_011848
kmergenie	[58]	http://kmergenie.bx.psu.edu
Jellyfish 2.2.3	[18]	http://www.genome.umd.edu/jellyfish.html; RRID: SCR_005491
DISCOVAR de novo	[59]	https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/ discovar/blog/
SOAP de novo 2.04	[60]	http://soap.genomics.org.cn/soapdenovo.html; RRID: SCR_000689
Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE)	[61]	https://www.xsede.org; RRID: SCR_006091
Google Earth	Google	https://www.google.com/earth/
Burrows Wheeler Aligner Program (BWA) 0.715	[62]	http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net
Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) 2.5.0	[63]	https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi; RRID: SCR_004870
SAM Tools 1.3.1	[64]	http://www.htslib.org; RRID: SCR_002105
PICARD 2.4.0	Broad Institute	https://github.com/broadinstitute/picard; RRID: SCR_006525
BAM Tools 1.3.1	[65]	https://github.com/pezmaster31/bamtools
Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) 3.6	[66]	https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/; RRID: SCR_001876
Pairwise Sequentially Markovian Coalescent (PSMC) 0.6.5	[13]	https://github.com/lh3/psmc
MAXENT 3.3.3	[67]	https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/ open_source/maxent/

CONTACT FOR REAGENT RESOURCES AND SHARING

Further information and requests for protocols and datasets should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Herman L. Mays, Jr. (maysh@marshall.edu)

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Tissue was collected from a captive, wild-caught male Sumatran Rhinoceros collected in Indonesia in Retak Mudik, Sub-District of Ipuh, District of Bengkulu Utara, and Province of Bengkulu on the island of Sumatra and exported to the Cincinnati Zoo and Botanical Garden on April 10, 1991. This specimen (named "Ipuh") was euthanized due to deteriorating health on February 18, 2013 and tissue samples from skeletal muscle, heart and liver were collected during the necropsy and separate samples of each tissue type were stored in ethanol or RNAlater kept at -80° C. Genomic DNA was isolated from each tissue type using standard phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol extraction methods. Tissues and specimen voucher material (mounted skin and complete disarticulated skeleton) were deposited at the Cincinnati Museum Center (CMC: M4249).

METHOD DETAILS

Genome sequencing

Whole genome, shotgun sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 1500 at the Marshall University Genomics Core Facility. One paired-end library and eight mate pair libraries were prepared from purified genomic DNA and sequenced. We prepared the paired end library using Illumina TruSeq DNA PCR-Free LT Library Preparation Kit from genomic DNA according to the manufacturer's instructions; average insert size for this library was 462 base pairs (bp). These libraries were sequenced in three separate 2 × 250 bp paired-end HiSeq1500 Rapid Runs. Gel-free and gel-plus mate pair libraries were prepared using the Nextera Mate Pair Library Prep Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gel-plus libraries were prepared from DNA fragments in three size ranges: 4-6kb, 6-9kb and 9-12kb. Adaptor enrichment (library amplification) was 10 cycles of PCR for gel-free libraries and 15 cycles of PCR for gel-plus libraries. Two replicates were generated for each gel-free and gel-plus mate pair library, resulting in 8 libraries in total. Average library insert sizes for gel-free and gel-plus libraries ranged from 345 to 515 bp and from 240 to 363 bp, respectively. Mate pair libraries were sequenced in a 2 × 150 bp paired-end Rapid Run mode. Illumina HiSeq sequencing used the HiSeq PE Rapid Cluster Kit v2 and HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2 sequencing kits.

Genome assembly

Trimming of sequencing reads was done using Trimmomatic 0.33 [57] and K-mer estimation was performed using kmergenie [58]. Genome size and coverage was estimated from trimmed fastq files by 25-mers in Jellyfish 2.2.3 [18]. *De novo* genome assembly from the Illumina libraries was conducted via a pipeline combining DISCOVAR *de novo* [59] and SOAPdenovo2 2.04 [60]. Contigs were generated by passing the paired-end reads through DISCOVAR *de novo*, running on a 12 TB node on the Bridges computing cluster at Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center via a startup allocation from the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) [61]. Resulting contigs were combined with the mate pair libraries and assembled into scaffolds using the "scaff" command from SOAPdenovo2. After preprocessing, 570,526,774 paired-end DNA sequencing reads were used to assemble contigs with DISCOVAR *de novo*. The resulting contigs, with an N50 of 80,701 bp, were combined with reads from mate pair libraries and assembled into scaffolds using SOAPdenovo2. This process generated 1.1 million scaffolds, 4,588 of which were greater than 100 kb, spanning a total of 2.96 Gb with an N50 of 0.6 Mb.

Occurrence data for ecological niche modeling

We built ecological niche models (ENMs) for Sumatran Rhinoceros at a resolution of 10 arc-minutes (*ca.* 18.5 km × 18.5 km at the equator) given the relatively low resolution of the occurrence data (e.g., only 26% of the 19 occurrences reported in Meijaard [9] had an accuracy of < 20 km). Sumatran Rhinoceros tend to have large home ranges with low population densities (home range: *ca.* 10-30 km²; population density: *ca.* 0.02-0.04 km²) [68] and as such our comparatively coarse spatial resolution is likely ecologically relevant.

Occurrences were obtained from the literature [9–11, 38, 69–74] and geo-referenced in GoogleEarth. We established three occurrence datasets. An all occurrences dataset (132 occurrences) included Sumatran Rhinoceros (*D. sumatrensis*) and putative *Rhinoc*eros spp.; the SR occurrences dataset (91 occurrences) included occurrences from all recognized subspecies of the Sumatran Rhinoceros (SR); and a DSS occurrences dataset (30 occurrences) included SR occurrences from Sumatra and the Malay Peninsula, which are assigned to the subspecies *D. s. sumatrensis* (DSS) [52]. Although the historical geographic range of Sumatran Rhinoceros is indeterminate, partly due to their sympatric distribution with *Rhinoceros* spp. (*R. unicornis*, *R. sondaicus*), modern observations, fossil records and historical documents indicate that they once occurred in Bhutan and northeastern India, through southern China, Myanmar, Thailand, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Vietnam and the Malay Peninsula, and the islands of Sumatra and Borneo in Indonesia [11, 38, 74]. Therefore, we set the spatial extent of the ENMs to include all known occurrences of Sumatran Rhinoceros and sympatric *Rhinoceros* spp., an area ranging from 71° to 124° E and 11° S to 38° N (herein 'South Asia'). However, for DSS occurrences, we reduced the spatial extent to the Sundaland region, ranging from 90° to 124° E and 11° S to 11° N (i.e., the northern boundary set at Isthmus of Kra). It is necessary to reduce the study area for DSS occurrences because they are spatially clustered, which may lead to model overfitting when pseudo-absence data are randomly drawn from a large study area. For statistical analysis of these models see section below.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Demographic analysis using PSMC

The Burrows-Wheeler Aligner program (BWA 0.7.15) [62] was used to map raw sequencing reads against the *de novo* assembled genome containing all scaffolds or scaffolds excluding those that are X chromosome-linked (i.e., autosomal scaffolds). The BWA-mem algorithm was used with default parameters. We searched X chromosome-linked scaffolds from the assembled genome by blasting all scaffolds against the X-chromosomes of human (*Homo sapiens*; GenBank: GCA_000001405.25), mouse (*Mus musculus*; GenBank: GCA_000001635.7) and horse (*Equus caballus*; GenBank: GCA_000002305.1), respectively, using BLAST+ 2.5.0 [63]. We assumed the blasted scaffolds that were shared among the three independent analyses as X chromosome-linked scaffolds in the Sumatran Rhinoceros genome. The BLAST+ parameters were set as: -evalue = 1e-10; -word_size = 15; -max_target_seqs = 1000. We then excluded X chromosome-linked scaffolds from the assembled genome to test for their effect on the genome-based estimates of demographic history.

SAMtools 1.3.1 [64] was used to sort and merge reads from different sequencing lanes. The program Picard 2.4.0 (https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) was used to remove duplicate reads from the BWA mapped records. Sequencing depth was estimated using BamTools 1.3.1 [65]. The Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK 3.6) [75] was used for local realignment and base quality recalibration to the mapped records before calling consensus sequences. Recalibration based on a concordant SNP dataset was done with SAMtools "mpileup" and GATK "UnifiedGeontyper" programs.

We applied the SAMtools package to produce diploid consensus sequences containing heterozygous (i.e., single-nucleotide polymorphism, SNP) sites for the BWA aligned records using the "mpileup," "bcftools" and "vcfutils.pl" programs. Several filters and options were added to keep only those consensus sequences with high confidence: (1) the option "–C50" was used to lower mapping quality for reads containing excessive mismatches; (2) the minimum mapping quality for an alignment to be included (-q) was set to 25; (3) sites with sequencing depths (-d) smaller than a third and (-D) larger than twice of the average depth of the aligned genome were excluded from the consensus sequence assignment, and (4) the sequences with consensus quality lower than 20 were filtered out. The first three filters were performed when using SAMtools for consensus sequence calling, and the fourth one was performed using the "fg2psmcfa" program in the PSMC package. We calculated the percentage of SNP sites of the consensus sequences.

We used the Pairwise Sequentially Markovian Coalescent (PSMC 0.6.5) [13] model to infer the effective population sizes (N_e) of the Sumatran Rhinoceros over time based on the genome sequences with SNP sites. The program "fq2psmcfa" provided by the PSMC package was used to divide the consensus sequences to 100-bp bins as input files for PSMC analysis. The minimal consensus quality of sequence for considering the fq2psmcfa conversion was set to 20. We set N (the number of iterations) = 25, t (T_{max}) = 15 and p (atomic time interval) = 4+25*2+4+6.

We used a substitution rate based on comparisons between cattle, dog and human genomes of 1.95×10^{-9} substitutions/site/year [28]. In addition, we report supplementary PSMC analyses based on two other substitution rates from studies of human and horses (*Equus* spp.) genomes, which were 1.0×10^{-9} substitutions per site per year [13, 31], and that of the Przewalski's Horse (*Equus* przewalskii) genome, which was 2.75×10^{-9} substitutions per site per year [30], to define potential bounds for population size and the timing of demographic changes. Other estimates of substitution rates averaged across mammalian orders fall within this range (2.22×10^{-9} substitutions/site/year) [76]. We estimated a generation time of 12 years based on doubling the average maximum age at sexual maturity (6.5 years for males and 5.5 years for females) [29]. Thus the substitution rates of 1.2×10^{-8} , 2.34×10^{-8} , and 3.3×10^{-8} substitutions/site/generation were used to convert the PSMC output to scales in years and individuals. Bootstrap tests with 100 replicates were performed by splitting the converted PSMC input sequences to shorter segments using the program "splitfa" in the PSMC package, and then randomly sampling the segments using the "-b" option for PSMC analyses.

Ecological niche modeling

We constructed ENMs in Maxent 3.3.3 [67] with bioclimate variables from Worldclim [77] as predictors. We retained the bioclimate variables that are not highly correlated with one another ($|r| \ge 0.8$) for the given study area (i.e., South Asia, Sundaland) and have a non-zero permutation importance to model fit (for the lists of bioclimate variables used in the ENMs; Table S1). The ENMs built under current climates were projected to paleoclimates during the last interglacial period (LIG; *ca*. 120 - 140 ka) [39] and the last glacial maximum (LGM; *ca*. 22 ka) [40]. The multivariate similarity surface (MESS) was used to detect areas with novel paleoclimate conditions (i.e., climate conditions that fall outside of the training range) [78]. The MESS results indicated that most of the study area did not present novel paleoclimate conditions (Figure S3). To produce predicted distributions, we applied the minimum training presence threshold (i.e., the areas with suitability scores lower than the threshold values are considered 'not suitable'). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of present-day ENMs ranged from 0.82 to 0.91. The partial receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of present-day ENMs ranged from 0.82 to 0.91. The partial receiver operating characteristic curves were estimated at omission rate of 0%, 1% and 5%, with bootstrapped mean AUC ratios > 1 (p < 0.001 based on 1,000 replicates) for all present-day ENMs across the three occurrence datasets [79], suggesting appropriate model fit.

Sumatran Rhinoceros occur in dense forests such as rainforests, secondary forests and closed-canopy woodlands [38], which could further limit their distribution. However, adding vegetation type as a predictor to ENMs is difficult in our case because paleo-vegetation data is lacking for LIG and difficult to reconcile between LGM and modern vegetation data. As an alternative, we calculated the proportion of present-day suitable areas that falls within each biome type [80] and the proportion of LGM suitable areas that falls within each biome type [80] and the proportion of LGM suitable areas that falls within each biome type [81].

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The genome sequence assembly has been deposited at DNA DataBank of Japan (DDBJ), the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA), and GenBank at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under the accession GenBank: PEKH00000000. The version described in this paper is version GenBank: PEKH01000000. Raw sequencing reads were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive at the NCBI and accessed via accession number SRA: PRJNA415733. Occurrence data is available from the Dryad Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.2jp32).