

Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from the Middle Pleistocene site of Ponte Milvio, central Italy

Luca Pandolfi

L. Pandolfi, Dipartimento di Scienze, Sezione di Scienze Geologiche, Università degli Studi "Roma Tre", L.go San Leonardo Murialdo 1, I-00146 Roma, Italy; luca.pandolfi@uniroma3.it

KEY WORDS - Rhinocerotidae, Stephanorhinus, Biochronology, Pleistocene, Ponte Milvio, central Italy.

ABSTRACT - Three rhinoceros species have been identified at Ponte Milvio: Stephanorhinus hundsheimensis (Toula, 1902), Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer, 1859) and Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger, 1839). Remains ascribed to S. hundsheimensis were collected in gravels and sands deposits. They show morphological and morphometric affinities with the small-sized populations of the latest Early Pleistocene from Vallonnet. The occurrence of these small-sized specimens suggests the presence of a faunal assemblage chronologically related with the Early-Middle Pleistocene transition. The remains of S. hemitoechus and S. kirchbergensis were collected in volcanoclastic deposits. The specimens ascribed to S. hemitoechus show morphometric characters close to the small-sized specimens of the latest Middle Pleistocene. Moreover, S. kirchbergensis seems to be common in Italy during the late Middle Pleistocene and it has never been collected with certainty from Late Pleistocene sites. The co-occurrence of S. kirchbergensis and the small-sized S. hemitoechus suggests the presence of a faunal assemblage chronologically related with as never been collected of S. kirchbergensis and the small-sized S. hemitoechus suggests the presence of a faunal assemblage chronological with certainty from Late Pleistocene sites. The co-occurrence of S. kirchbergensis and the small-sized S. hemitoechus suggests the presence of a faunal assemblage chronologically referable to a time span between MIS10 and MIS8.

RIASSUNTO - [Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) dal sito del Pleistocene Medio di Ponte Milvio, Italia centrale] - L'analisi paleontologica dei resti di rinoceronte rinvenuti nei depositi terrazzati del fiume Tevere a Ponte Milvio ha permesso di riconoscere tre specie: Stephanorhinus hundsheimensis (Toula, 1902), Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer, 1859) e Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger, 1839). I resti ascritti a S. hundsheimensis furono rinvenuti nei depositi di sabbie e ghiaie in cui non si osserva traccia di elementi vulcanoclastici. Tali resti mostrano affinità morfologiche e morfometriche con gli esemplari di taglia ridotta rinvenuti in siti europei riferibili al tardo Pleistocene Inferiore. La segnalazione di queste forme suggerisce la presenza di un'associazione faunistica attribuibile alla transizione Pleistocene Inferiore-Pleistocene Medio. I resti di S. hemitoechus e S. kirchbergensis furono invece rinvenuti in depositi vulcanoclastici. Gli esemplari ascritti a S. hemitoechus mostrano affinità morfometriche con quelli di taglia ridotta del tardo Pleistocene Medio mentre S. kirchbergensis, al momento non segnalato in Italia nel corso del Pleistocene Superiore, sembra essere una specie caratteristica del Pleistocene Medio terminale. La segnalazione di queste due specie suggerisce la presenza nel sito di un'associazione faunistica cronologicamente correlabile con un intervallo di tempo compreso fra il MIS10 ed il MIS8.

INTRODUCTION

Rhinoceroses represent a common element of the Plio-Pleistocene faunas of Europe and they have been usually used as a biochronological tool (e.g., Guérin, 1980; Lacombat, 2005, 2009; Petronio et al., 2011). During the Middle Pleistocene, four rhinoceros species have been identified in Europe: *Stephanorhinus hundsheimensis* (Toula, 1902), *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* (Falconer, 1859), *Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis* (Jäger, 1839) and *Coelodonta tologoijensis* Beliajeva, 1966.

S. hundsheimensis has been recorded from the late Early Pleistocene to the late Middle Pleistocene. This species is characterised, according to Fortelius et al. (1993) and Lacombat (2005, 2006a, b, 2009), by two evolutionary stages: a smaller late Early Pleistocene - early Middle Pleistocene form and a larger Middle Pleistocene form. These evolutionary stages, however, have been recognised only in Southern Europe; indeed the specimens of *S. hundsheimensis* recovered in the late Early Pleistocene sites of Central Europe (e.g., Untermassfeld, Germany) are larger than those of the coeval sites of Southern Europe (e.g., Vallonnet, France) (Lacombat, 2005, 2009).

S. hemitoechus has been first documented in the Middle Pleistocene deposits of Campagna Romana (Italy) and Caune de L'Arago (France) (Guérin, 1980; Moigne et

al., 2006; Pandolfi et al., 2013), while its last occurrence has been usually reported during the last glaciation (Guerin, 1980; Petronio et al., 2011; Pandolfi et al., 2013).

The very large sized *S. kirchbergensis* has been first recorded in the early Middle Pleistocene site of Soleilhac (France) (Guérin, 1980) and, according to Billia & Petronio (2009), in the Middle Galerian sites of Valdemino and Ponte Milvio (Italy).

Finally, according to Kahlke & Lacombat (2008) the first occurrence of the genus *Coelodonta* in Europe is documented in the site of Bad Frankenhausen (Germany) during MIS12. However, this record has been questioned by Guérin (2010) who reports the occurrence of *Coelodonta* in Europe during the latest Middle Pleistocene (MIS8) with *C. antiquitatis praecursor* Guérin, 1980. Nevertheless, in Italy the genus *Coelodonta* is unknown during the Middle Pleistocene and its first appearance in the Peninsula is documented, at present, during MIS4 (Pandolfi & Tagliacozzo, 2013).

The aim of the present paper is to describe several rhinoceros remains collected from the fluvial deposits cropping out at Ponte Milvio (= Ponte Molle) (Fig. 1) between the end of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th century. Furthermore, an overview on the first and last occurrence of the Middle Pleistocene rhinoceros species in Italy is discussed.



Fig. 1 - Location map of Ponte Milvio (Rome) and other fossiliferous sites in the Roman Urban area. 1) Prati Fiscali; 2) Monte Sacro; 3) Tor di Quinto; 4) Monti della Farnesina; 5) Villa Chigi; 6) Monte Antenne (for details see Di Stefano et al., 1998).

STRATIGRAPHIC AND PALEONTOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK OF THE PONTE MILVIO SITE

At the end of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th century, several mammal remains referred to the Middle Pleistocene were collected from the fluvial deposits of the Tiber River near Ponte Milvio (= Ponte Molle) from outcrops that are now no more exposed, due to the intense urbanization of the area (Fig. 1). Ponzi (1867) studied for the first time both fossils and stratigraphy of the Ponte Milvio area. He observed two different deposits: a conglomerate with fossil mammals and sands with subfossil remains. Later. Portis (1893) described the Cava D'Alessandri deposits near Ponte Milvio, and noticed conglomerate and sands forming at least seven irregular levels; basal levels yielded the fossil remains. Ambrosetti & Bonadonna (1967) considered the faunal assemblage of Ponte Milvio as homogeneous. However, they had no opportunity to investigate the stratigraphy of the area. Capasso Barbato et al. (1998) examined the fossil fauna from the Ponte Milvio deposits to test the presence of different phases of fluvial sedimentation. They recognised at least three faunal associations. The oldest assemblage was characterised, according to Capasso Barbato et al. (1998), by the occurrence of *Červus elaphus* acoronatus Beninde, 1937 and was correlated with the Early and/or Middle Galerian. A younger assemblage (called Ponte Milvio 2 in Capasso Barbato et al., 1998) was characterised by the occurrence of Dama clactoniana (Falconer, 1868) and was referred to Fontana Ranuccio or Torre in Pietra Faunal Units. In the end, Capasso Barbato et al. (1998) reported a third Pleistocene faunal assemblage chronologically correlated with the late Middle Pleistocene (Vitinia FU), basing on the occurrence of Dama dama tiberina Di Stefano & Petronio, 1997 and Equus hydruntinus Regalia, 1907.

Recently, an horn-core of Bovidae collected at Ponte Milvio during the end of the 19th century was referred to *Hemibos galerianus* (Petronio & Sardella, 1998) by Martinez-Navarro & Palombo (2007). This occurrence suggests the presence of a faunal assemblage chronologically related with the Ponte Galeria FU at Ponte Milvio. Indeed, *H. galerianus* was found just above the Early-Middle Pleistocene transition in the Ponte Galeria area (Petronio & Sardella, 1998). Moreover, Palombo et al. (2004) suggested to suppress the Vitinia FU and to refer the faunal complexes of the Latium coastal area, occurring in the Aurelia and Vitinia formations (late Middle Pleistocene), to the Torre in Pietra FU. This FU, according to Palombo et al. (2004), is characterised by the first occurrence of *Canis lupus* Linnaeus, 1758, *Ursus spelaeus* Rosenmüller, 1794, *Megaloceros giganteus* Blumenbach, 1799, *D. dama tiberina* and by the persistence of *D. clactoniana*. This opinion is not followed by Petronio et al. (2011) who consider the Vitinia FU as a valid biochronological unit, characterised by the occurrence of *D. dama tiberina* and *E. hydruntinus* and the diffusion of *Capra ibex* Linnaeus, 1758, *Rupicapra rupicapra* Linnaeus, 1758 and *Mammuthus chosaricus* Dubrovo, 1964.

The rhinoceros remains collected at Ponte Milvio were ascribed to *Dicerorhinus mercki* (Kaup, 1841) and *D. hemitoechus* by Guérin (1980) and to *S. kirchbergensis* and *S. hemitoechus* by Capasso Barbato et al. (1998). Recently, Billia & Petronio (2009) confirmed the presence of *S. kichbergensis* at Ponte Milvio.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work is based on fossil remains preserved in the Museo di Paleontologia, "Sapienza" Università di Roma (MPUR). The studied specimens consist of only one fragmentary femur, 18 upper teeth and 12 lower teeth (Tab. 1). The labels of the specimens report the locality of collection and, sometimes, the lithology. On the basis of this information, the studied specimens can be referred to two different deposits: a first one, characterised by the presence of gravels and sands, and a second one characterised by volcanoclastic material. Seven teeth, MPUR numbers 1420-97, 1454-117, 1454-118, 1412-8, 1444-95, 1416-42, 1416-43 and a small-sized femur

Specimen	Collection	Species
Fourth upper premolar	MPUR1420-97	S. hundsheimensis
Third upper molar	MPUR1454-117	S. hundsheimensis
Third upper molar	MPUR1454-118	S. hundsheimensis
First lower molar	MPUR 1412-8	S. hundsheimensis
Fourth upper deciduous	MPUR 1438-18	S. hemitoechus
Third upper premolar	MPUR 1439-134	S. hemitoechus
First upper molar	MPUR1451-26	S. hemitoechus
Fourth lower deciduous	MPUR 1448-51	S. hemitoechus
Fourth upper premolar	MPUR 1421-107	S. kirchbergensis
Fourth upper premolar	MPUR 1456-126	S. kirchbergensis
Second upper molar	MPUR 1417-115	S. kirchbergensis
First lower molar	MPUR 1446-49	S. kirchbergensis
Third lower molar	MPUR 1415-63	S. kirchbergensis
Fourth upper deciduous	MPUR 1445-27	Stephanorhinus aff. S. hundsheimensis
Femur	MPUR 1523-2	Stephanorhinus aff. S. hundsheimensis

Tab. 1 - List of specimens from Ponte Milvio ascribed to *S. hundsheimensis*, *S. hemitoechus*, *S. kirchbergensis* and *Stephanorhinus* aff. *S. hundsheimensis*.

MPUR 1415-63 can be referred to the first level. Five teeth (MPUR 1439-134, 1448-51, 1456-126, 1417-115 and 1415-63) can be referred to the second level. Unfortunately, the stratigraphic provenience of seventeen teeth (labelled as MPUR 1438-18, 1451-26, 1421-107, 1446-49, 1445-27, 1492, 1452-112, 1452-113, 1414-94, 1419-39, 1456-127, 1440-119, 1418-23, 1460-55, 1442-65, 1441-70 and 1450-64) is unknown. The morphological characteristics considered in the present paper are those listed by several authors, including Guérin (1980), Fortelius et al. (1993) and Lacombat (2005). The material used for direct comparison was obtained from the following institutions: MPUR, Museo di Paleontologia, "Sapienza" Università di Roma, Rome; IGF, Museo di Storia Naturale di Firenze, sezione di Geologia e Paleontologia, Florence; MSTB, Museo di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Bari, Bari; MPLB, Museo Paleontologico Luigi Boldrini, Pietrafitta, Perugia. Different specimens collected from Middle and Late Pleistocene European sites and published by Kahlke (1975, 1977), Guérin (1980), Fortelius et al. (1993) and Lacombat (2005, 2006a, b) have been also taken into account for the morphological comparison of the studied specimens.

Unfortunately complete proximal epiphysis of femurs of the small late Early Pleistocene *S. hundsheimensis* are unknown and, with the exception of the few data from Vallonnet, any comparison with this form is impossible.

The morphometric methodology is based on the works of Guérin (1980) and Lacombat (2005), and the results are compared with those reported by Kahlke (1975, 1977), Guérin (1980), Fortelius et al. (1993) and Lacombat (2005).

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

The systematic and taxonomy of the fossil rhinoceroses is still debated. As regarding the Plio-Pleistocene species, Guérin (1980) included into the new subgenus *Dicerorhinus* (*Brandtorhinus*) Guérin, 1980 the species *D. jeanvireti* Guérin, 1972, *D. etruscus* (Falconer, 1868) (with the two subspecies *D. etruscus etruscus* and *D. etruscus brachycephalus* Schroeder, 1903), *D. hemitoechus* (Falconer, 1859) and *D. mercki* (Kaup, 1841) (= *D. kirchbergensis* Jäger, 1839).

Later, Guérin (1989) raised Brandtorhinus to the genus rank. However, according to Heissig (1973, 1981) and Groves (1983) the European Pleistocene rhinoceroses (excluding the four species of the genus Coelodonta Bronn, 1831) cannot be referred to the genus Dicerorhinus Gloger, 1841. The above-mentioned authors allocated them into the genus Stephanorhinus Kretzoi, 1942. This last viewpoint was followed by Fortelius et al. (1993) who referred to the genus Stephanorhinus Kretzoi. 1942 the Plio-Pleistocene species S. jeanvireti, S. etruscus, S. hundsheimensis (identified with the specimens of D. etruscus brachycephalus in Guérin, 1980 but excluding the type-specimen), S. hemitoechus and S. kirchbergensis. According to Fortelius et al. (1993), the genus Brandtorhinus Guérin is identical to Stephanorhinus and the latter name "is preferable to Guérin's because it has priority and has been used quite extensively in literature" (Fortelius et al., 1993, p. 65). Recently, Deng et al. (2011) included into Stephanorhinus the species S. etruscus, S. hundsheimensis and S. hemitoechus and referred to "Dihoplus" Brandt, 1878 the species Rhinoceros kirchbergense Jäger, 1839, together with the Miocene species Dihoplus ringströmi (Kretzoi, 1942) and Di. pikermiensis (Toula, 1906) and the Pliocene Di. megarhinus (Christol, 1834). A direct connection between S. kirchbergensis and the Pliocene Di. megarhinus was suggested by Wüst (1922), Staesche (1941) and Guérin (1980). According to Fortelius et al. (1993), these two species represent a sister-group to the S. jeanvireti-S. etruscus-S. hundsheimensis-S. hemitoechus group. Nevertheless, the connection between S. kirchbergensis and Di. megarhinus could correspond to an indipendent clade or to a strong convergence of the characters (Lacombat, 2007).

Genus Stephanorhinus Kretzoi, 1942

Type species Stephanorhinus etruscus (Falconer, 1868)

Stephanorhinus hundsheimensis (Toula, 1902) (Fig. 2d-f)

- 1902 Rhinoceros hundsheimensis TOULA, p. 11, Pls 1-3; Pl. 4, figs 1-3; Pl. 5; Pl. 6, figs 1, 2a, 3-4; Pl. 7, figs 1, 4-5; Pl. 8; Pl. 9, figs 1-3; Pl. 10, figs 1-4, 9; Pl. 11, figs 1-5; Pl. 12, figs 1-5.
- 1914 Rhinoceros etruscus var. heidelbergensis FREUDENBERG, p. 480, Pls 1-2 (fig. 1).
- 1965 Dicerorhinus etruscus (Falconer) KAHLKE, p. 454, Figs 1-35, Pls 24-31.
- 1976 Dicerorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer) CIGALA-FULGOSI, p. 60, Pl. 1; Pl. 2, figs 1-10; Pl. 3.
- 1979 Dicerorhinus sp. AMBROSETTI et al., p. 216.
- 1983 Dicerorhinus etruscus brachycephalus (Schroeder) GUÉRIN, p. 47, Pls 1-2.
- 1993 Stephanorhinus hundsheimensis (Toula) FORTELIUS et al., p. 66, Pl. 1, fig. 2; Pl. 2, fig. 2; Pl. 3, fig. 2; Pl. 4, fig. 3; Pl. 5, fig. 6; Pl. 6, figs 3-5; Pl. 7, fig. 3; Pl. 8, figs 3, 7, 10; Pl. 9, fig. 3; Pl. 10, fig. 3; Pl. 11, fig. 2; Pl. 12, fig. 3; Pl. 13, figs 3, 7; Pl. 14, figs 3, 6, 9; Pl. 15, figs 3, 7, 11; Pl. 16, figs 3, 7, 10, 14, 18, 22.
- 2009 Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger) BILLIA & PETRONIO, p. 27, fig. 4g.

Material - MPUR 1420-97, 1454-117, 1454-118 and 1412-8.

Description - The P4/(MPUR 1420-97) shows double crochet and a crista partially fused with the crochet (Fig. 2d). The antecrochet is represented by a slight fold; mesial and lingual cingula are present. The vestibular wall shows a slight salient paracone fold.

In the two M3/(MPUR 1454-117, MPUR 1454-118) crista and antecrochet are absent, the mediofossette is open (Fig. 2f). The crochet is simple and well evident in MPUR 1454-118 while it is slightly developed in MPUR 1454-117. In the latter specimen, a slight paracone constriction is present and the distal cingulum is well evident. The mesial cingulum is present in both the teeth and a vertical stile is observable in the median valley of MPUR 1454-117. The vestibular wall is slightly convex and the paracone fold is slightly marked in MPUR 1454-118.

In the M/1(MPUR 1412-8) the anterior and posterior valleys have a V-shaped morphology; the difference in



Fig. 2 - Selected rhinoceros teeth from Ponte Milvio. a) *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* D4/MPUR 1438-18 in occlusal view; b) *Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis* P4/MPUR 1421-107 in occlusal view; c) *Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis* M2/MPUR 1417-115 in occlusal view; d) *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* M1/MPUR 1451-26 in occlusal view; f) *Stephanorhinus hemitoechus* M1/MPUR 1448-51 in lingual view; h-i) *Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis* M3/MPUR 1415-63 in lingual (h) and occlusal (i) views. Scale bars correspond to 2 cm.

ratio height between the bottom of the valleys is small (about 0.86); mesial and distal cingula are present and they extend along the vestibular side of the tooth.

Remarks - The dimensional characters of the P4/ and M/1 are close to those reported by Lacombat (2005) for the specimens of Vallonnet and to the minimum values reported by Fortelius et al. (1993) and Guérin (1980) for the species (Tab. 2). As regards the M3/, the morphometric data are not useful to distinguish between the two evolutionary stages of *S. hundsheimensis*; however, as in Ponte Milvio, a slight paracone constriction is observable in the specimens from Vallonnet.

Finally, high values of the ratio height between the bottom of the posterior valley and the collar/height between the bottom of the anterior valley and the collar (Hvp/Hva) for the M/1 are recognised in the late Early Pleistocene specimens of *S. hundsheimensis* as in Ponte Milvio. Nevertheless, the values of the mesial width (MW) and distal width (DW) of the M/1 are closer to the Vallonnet samples.

Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer, 1859) (Fig. 2a, e, g)

- 1859 Rhinoceros hemitoechus FALCONER in GAUDIN, p. 131.
- 1866 Rhinoceros leptorhinus Owen DAWKINS, p. 215, Pl. 10.
- 1874 Rhinoceros leptorhinus Owen Woodward, p. 398, Pl. 15.
- 1900 Rhinoceros hemitoechus Falconer OSBORN, p. 264.
- 1942 Procerorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer) KRETZOI, p. 314, Fig. 1 (5-8).
- 1955 Rhinoceros merckii Kaup MAVIGLIA, p. 93.
- 1989 Brandtorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer) Guérin, p. 9.
- 1990 Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer) CERDEÑO, p. 468, Pls 1-3.
- 1993 Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer) FORTELIUS et al., p. 66, Pl. 4, fig. 2; Pl. 5, figs 2, 5; Pl. 6, fig. 2; Pl. 7, fig. 2; Pl. 8, figs 2, 6; Pl. 9, fig. 2; Pl. 10, fig. 2; Pl. 11, fig. 4; Pl. 12, fig. 2;

Pl. 13, figs 2, 6; Pl. 14, figs 2, 5, 8; Pl. 15, figs 2, 6, 10; Pl. 16, figs 2, 6, 13, 17, 21.

Material - MPUR 1438-18, 1439-134, 1451-26 and 1448-51.

Description - The D4/(MPUR 1438-18) has a single and long crochet and a single crista (Fig. 2a). The antecrochet is absent; a slight protocone constriction is observable and a cingulum occurs in the medial side of the tooth. The profile of the vestibular wall is slight wavy with a marked paracone fold.

The P3/(MPUR 1439-134) is much worn; the mediofossette is close, the antecrochet and the cingula are absent.

In the M1/(MPUR 1451-26) a single and well developed crochet is present, the crista is double and the antecrochet and the mesial cingulum are present (Fig. 2g). The profile of the vestibular wall is wavy with marked folds.

In the D/4(MPUR 1448-51) the lingual valleys have a broad V-shaped morphology, mesial and distal cingula are present and the vestibular syncline is deep.

Remarks - The dimensional characters of the D4/ are close to the minimal values of the specimens from Caune de L'Arago (Tab. 2). The crista, present in the Ponte Milvio specimen, is absent in the Late Pleistocene specimens from some Italian localities (e.g., Ingarano & Manciano; MPUR) and in the latest Middle Pleistocene one (e.g., Maspino; IGF). Furthermore, the dimensional values of the P3/ and M1/ are closer to the minimal values of *S. hemitoechus* reported by Fortelius et al. (1993) and they are smaller than those from Caune de L'Arago (Tab. 2). M1/ shows morphological characters (presence of antecrochet) similar to those of the small-sized samples from the late Middle Pleistocene of Orgnac III. This character is absent in the large samples from Maspino

	LL	BL	MW	DW	Lmax	Wmax
P4/						
Ponte Milvio 1420-97	34.75	39.85	51.75	49.2	49.2	52.3
Ponte Milvio 1421-107	36	46.3	68.68	59.65	48.75	70.77
S. hundsheimensis Vallonnet	32.62-39.90	35.9-42.85	49.56-60.08	47.46-53.20	37.77-43.89	50.42-60.26
S. hundsheimensis Isernia			50.16-55.57	48.47-51.77	39.80-49.87	52.95-57.90
S. hundsheimensis 1	29-37	35-46	51-62	46-57		
S. hundsheimensis 2					43.5-54	47.5-61.5
S. kirchbergensis Ehringsdorf					42.50-52.20	62.20-70.20
S. kirchbergensis 1	34-43	40-52	59-73	53-70		
M1/						
Ponte Milvio 1451-26	44	37	54.4	53		
S. hemitoechus Arago	42.87	40.14-50.59	50.28-61.54	57.47-64.76	49.88-55.45	58.77-62.82
S. hemitoechus Orgnac	47.31-49.75	34.4-39.5	55.03-63.29	50.1-54	51-52.8	57.1-63
S. hemitoechus 1	42-53	36-41	55-68	51-64		
M/1						
Ponte Milvio 1412-8	46.13	45.05	25.85	27.65	49.4	28.8
S. hundsheimensis Vallonnet	36.49-43.62	37.77-43.90	24.33-29.36	25.73-31.22	38.28-47.35	25.58-31.20
S. hundsheimensis Isernia			29.25-31.40	29.49-38.27	40.12-48	28-38.64
S. hundsheimensis 1	37-46	37-49	25-35	27-36		
S. hundsheimensis 2					40-56	26-37
D/4						
Ponte Milvio 1448-51	36.9	37.5	19.45	21.73	40.9	22.55
S. hemitoechus Arago		40.37-46.37	20.43-24.49	22.29-25.52	40.23-46.84	20.03-25.47
S. hemitoechus 2					37-50.5	22-27.5
M/3						
Ponte Milvio 1415-63	50	45	26	33	50	34
S. kirchbergensis Taubach					55.3-61.8	35.8-37.4
S. kirchbergensis Ehringsdorf					49.9-62.7	31.8-40.8
S. kirchbergensis Prince	51.94-60.05	48-57.62	29.53-32.69	26.21-38.18	55-61.74	30.56-36.97

Tab. 2 - Morphometric comparisons between selected specimens from Ponte Milvio and several specimens of *S. hundsheimensis*, *S. hemitoechus* and *S. kirchbergensis* collected in different European Pleistocene localities. Vallonnet, Isernia, Arago, Orgnac and Prince from Lacombat (2005); 1 = data from Fortelius et al. (1993); 2 = data from Guérin (1980); Ehringsdorf from Kahlke (1975); Taubach from Kahlke (1977). LL = lingual length; BL = buccal length; MW = mesial width; DW = distal width; Lmax = maximal length; Wmax = maximal width. All the values are in mm.

(IGF) and Monte Sacro (MPUR). The lower deciduous are smaller than the specimens from Caune de L'Arago and the ratio between the height of the posterior and anterior valleys (Hvp/HvA = 0.54) is relatively small. This last character is recognised in the Late Pleistocene specimens from the Salento Peninsula (Southern Italy; MPUR) (Hvp/HvA = 0.52).

Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger, 1839) (Fig. 2b-c, h-i)

- 1839 Rhinoceros kirchbergense JÄGER, p. 180, Pl. 16, figs 31-33.
- 1864 *Rhinoceros Mercki* Kaup VON MEYER, p. 235, Pls 35-38; Pl. 39, fig. 4.
- 1877 *Rhinoceros Merckii* Kaup BRANDT, p. 1, Pls 1; Pl. 2, figs 1-3; Pl. 3; Pl. 7, figs 14-16; Pl. 11.
- 1911 Rhinoceros Merckii Kaup Wüst, p. 133, Pl. 10, figs 4-6.
- 1930 *Rhinoceros mercki* Kaup SCHROEDER, p. 5, Pls 1, figs 4-9; Pls 2-9; Pl. 10, figs 45, 49-50; Pl. 11, figs 53-54; Pls 12-13, 16-21, 24-26.
- 1942 Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger) KRETZOI, p. 312.

- 1972 Dicerorhinus mercki (Kaup) BORSUK-BIALYNICKA & JAKUBOWSKI, p. 188, Fig. 1A; Fig. 2; Pls 1-4.
- 1983 Dicerorhinus mercki (Kaup) Guérin, p. 47, Pls 3-4.
- 1989 Brandtorhinus mercki (Kaup) Guérin, p. 9.
- 1993 Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger) FORTELIUS et al., p. 66,
 Pl. 1, fig. 3; Pl. 2, fig. 3; Pl. 4, fig. 4; Pl. 5, figs 3, 7; Pl. 8, figs 4, 8, 11; Pl. 9, fig. 4; Pl. 11, fig. 5; Pl. 12, fig. 4; Pl. 13, fig. 4;
 Pl. 15, figs 4, 8; Pl. 16, figs 4, 8, 11, 15, 19.

Material - MPUR 1421-107, 1456-126, 1417-115, 1446-49 and 1415-63.

Description - All the upper teeth show a smooth and thick enamel, without coronal cementum. Furthermore, the lingual sides of the upper teeth are bulbously inflated and the profile of the ectolophs are wavy.

The two P4/(MPUR 1421-107, MPUR 1456-126) are relatively large; the crochet is present; a slight lingual cingulum and a mesial one occur.

The M2/(MPUR 1417-115) shows a paracone constriction and the profile of the vestibular wall appears flat.

In the M/1 (MPUR 1446-49) the difference in height between the bottoms of the lingual valley is strong; a slight distal cingulum occurs in the tooth.

The M/3 (MPUR 1415-63) shows a U-shaped lingual valleys and a slight mesial and distal cingula. The difference in height between the bottoms of the valleys is strong and the vestibular syncline is deep.

Remarks - Smooth and thick enamel in the teeth and the presence of bulbousity in the upper teeth are typical of S. kirchbergensis. The dimensional characters of the P4/ and of the upper molars are close to the dimensional range of the specimens of S. kirchbergensis from Ehringsdorf and they are closer to the mean values of the species given by Fortelius et al. (1993) (Tab. 2). The dimensional characters of the lower molars are closer to the minimal values of the species from Ehringsdorf and Prince (Tab. 2).

Stephanorhinus aff. S. hundsheimensis (Toula, 1902) Fig. 3

Material - MPUR 1445-27 and 1523-2.

Description - One D4/(MPUR 1445-27) has a large crochet, a small crista, a slight paracone constriction, a wavy profile of the vestibular wall with a marked paracone fold and a very smooth enamel.

A small-sized femur (MPUR 1523-2) (Fig. 3) has been collected at Ponte Milvio. The specimen lacks the distal epiphysis while the third trocanter is intact and well obvious. The proximal articular head is more developed transversally than the trocanter. Conglomerates and sands cover partially the posterior side of the bone.





Fig. 4 - Ratio diagram of the femur MPUR 1523-2 from Ponte Milvio. S. hundsheimensis 1 (data from Lacombat [2005] and Fortelius et al. [1993]), S. hundsheimensis 2 (data from Guérin [1980]), S. etruscus (data from Fortelius et al. [1993]), S. cf. S. etruscus from Pietrafitta (data from Mazza et al. [1993]) and the small sized S. hundsheimensis from Vallonnet (data from Lacombat [2005]). TDPA = transverse diameter of the proximal epiphysis; APDPA = antero-posterior diameter of the proximal epiphysis; PTD = proximal transverse diameter; H3tr = height of the third trocanter; TDo3tr = transverse diameter over the third trocanter; APDo3tr = antero-posterior diameter over the third trocanter; TD3tr = transverse diameter at the third trocanter.

Remarks - The smooth enamel of the fourth deciduous is similar to that of S. kirchbergensis. However, the general morphological characters are similar to those of the fourth upper deciduous from Vallonnet. The dimensions of the tooth are close to the minimal values of the specimens from Vallonnet and they are relatively smaller than S. kirchbergensis. A sure specific attribution of the studied specimens is uncertain and it is referred to S. aff. S. hundshiemensis for its size.

The femur is proportionally more similar to the Pietrafitta (MPLB) specimens and to S. etruscus from Upper Valdarno (IGF) than to the large-sized S. hundsheimensis from Castellana (MSTB) and other localities (Fig. 4) (see also Mazza et al., 1993). Furthermore, the proximal articular head is proportionally similar to that of the small sized S. hundsheimensis from Vallonnet. In anterior view, the articular head of the femur MPUR 1523-2 appears slightly more developed than in the Pietrafitta specimens. Moreover, in proximal view, MPUR 1523-2 has a more elliptical shape of the articular surface respect to the specimens from Pietrafitta and the Upper Valdarno. In the end, the MPUR 1523-2 has a less massive trocanter than in the large-sized S. hundsheimensis.

The studied specimen shows some morphological resemblances with S. hundsheimensis but the size and the proportion are closer to S. etruscus from Upper Valdarno and to S. cf. S. etruscus from Pietrafitta. Well-preserved femurs of the small late Early Pleistocene S. hundsheimensis are unknown and only few fragmentary specimens from Vallonnet are available. Consequently, any comparison is prevented and, at present, the specimen is only tentatively referred to Stephanorhinus aff. S. hundsheimensis.

Stephanorhinus sp.

Material - MPUR 1465-114, 1444-95, 1452-112, 1452-113, 1492, 1414-94, 1419-39, 1416-43, 1416-42,

Fig. 3 - Femur MPUR 1523-2 from Ponte Milvio, a) in dorsal view, b) in proximal view. Scale bar corresponds to 5 cm.

Num. ages	Epoch		Age	Magn.	Isotope Stages 0.5 <u>δ¹⁸O(‰)</u> 0.3	Land Mammal Ages	Faunal Units	Selected Recovered Fossils	Volcanic Activity
_	HOL	L.			5 T	AURELIAN	Ingarano Melpignano Vitinia		
-		Щ	AN	inhes	9		Torre in Pietra		
<u>0.5 Ma</u> –	2	MID	INOI	Bru	15		Fontana Ranuccio	Sis	it I istrict
_	CENE				M	GALERIAN	Ponte Galeria	ma	ict istric nic Di Distric
- 1 0 Ma	ISTOC				22 2		Slivia	nus nus nus ctonia ctonia kirchb da kirchb	Distr nic D 'olcar anic E
-	PLE		IAN	j a	N N		Colle Curti	aleria onatu S. I. S.	anic /olca /ico V
– – – 1.5 Ma	4	EARLY	CALABR	Matuyan	MMM	VILLAFRANCHIAN	Pirro	"H." g ilaphus acoi undsheimens	batini Volc Ili Albani V V Vulsini
]				N.		Farneta	<u>، ب</u> ن <u>د</u>	Sa Co

Fig. 5 - Biochronology of selected taxa recovered at Ponte Milvio (data from Petronio et al., 2011), including the three species of rhinoceros, and correlation with the Volcanic Activity in Latium (data from Sottili et al., 2010, 2011) and with the Marine Isotopic Stages.

1456-127, 1440-119, 1418-23, 1460-55, 1442-65, 1441-70 and 1450-64.

Description - Several teeth can be determined only at genus level and assigned to *Stephanorhinus* because of the absence of morphological characters useful to distinguish between *S. hundsheimensis* and *S. hemitoechus*. They are: one upper deciduous (MPUR 1465-114), three upper teeth (MPUR 1444-95, MPUR 1452-112, MPUR 1452-113), one P4/(MPUR 1492), one M2/(MPUR 1414-94), one lower molar (MPUR 1419-39), one M/2 (MPUR 1416-43) and one M/3 (MPUR 1416-42).

Other teeth referable to the genus *Stephanorhinus* are much worn or fragmented (upper tooth MPUR1456-127, upper molar MPUR 1440-119, M1/? MPUR 1418-23, P/4 MPUR 1460-55, M/1-M/2 MPUR 1442-65, M/1-M/2 MPUR 1441-70, M/2-M/3 MPUR 1450-64).

DISCUSSION

The paleontological analysis of the rhinoceros remains from Ponte Milvio allows to recognise three species namely *S. hundsheimensis*, *S. hemitoechus* and *S. kirchbergensis* whose biochronological significance is here discussed.

Stephanorhinus hundsheimensis

Four teeth of *S. hundsheimensis* (MPUR1420-97, MPUR1454-117, MPUR1454-118 and MPUR 1412-8) and seven teeth of *Stephanorhinus* sp. report the stratigraphic indications "ghiaie alluviali" or "ghiaie e sabbie" in the labels. The gravels and sands in which the specimens were collected lack volcanoclastic materials which characterise all the sedimentological successions in the area of Rome from ca. 0.600 Ma (see Marra & Rosa, 1995; Caloi et al., 1998; Marra et al., 1998; Sottili et al., 2011). *S. hundsheimensis* is recorded in Europe during the latest Early and the Middle Pleistocene in several sites as Vallonnet, Untermassfeld, Soleilhac, Vergranne, Mosbach, Isernia La Pineta and Mauer (Guérin, 1980; Fortelius et al., 1993; Kahlke, 2001; Lacombat, 2005; Schreiber, 2005). According to Pandolfi & Petronio (2011a) the species occurred in Italy during the Early Galerian (Colle Curti FU, approximately 1 Ma), while the rhino from Pietrafitta (late Villafranchian, Farneta FU), usually referred to *S*. cf. *S. hundsheimensis*, could be ascribed to an evolutionary stage of *S. etruscus*. The Toula species disappeared from the Italian Peninsula during the MIS15-16 and it was replaced by *S. hemitoechus* about 0.500 Ma (Pandolfi et al., 2013) (Fig. 5).

The rhinoceros remains of *S. hundsheimensis* from Ponte Milvio are dimensionally and morphologically closer to the small-sized specimens of the latest Early Pleistocene (Vallonnet) than to the large-sized ones from the Middle Pleistocene (Isernia La Pineta). This suggests that the gravels and sands of Ponte Milvio can be referred to a time span older than the Isernia FU and supports the hypothesis of a faunal assemblage referable to the Slivia or Ponte Galeria FUs (Fig. 5).

The small-sized fragmentary femur MPUR 1523-2 can also be referred to the same levels yielding *S. hundsheimensis* while the deciduous tooth MPUR 1445-27, very similar to the specimens from Vallonnet, has an uncertain stratigraphic provenance.

Stephanorhinus hemitoechus and S. kirchbergensis

Two teeth of *S. hemitoechus* (MPUR 1439-134, MPUR 1448-51) and three teeth of *S. kirchbergensis* (MPUR 1417-115, MPUR 1456-126, MPUR 1415-63) report the same indication in the labels: "conglomerati tufacei e ghiaie e tufi". Both species have been described from several European sites dated to the late Middle Pleistocene and the earliest Late Pleistocene (e.g., La Fage, Neumark-Nord, Burgtonna, Ehringsdorf, Grotte du Prince: see Guérin, 1973, 1980; Kahlke, 1978; Lacombat, 2005; Made, 2010). In Italy, the two species occur together only in few localities as in the terraced deposits of the Aniene River at Monte Sacro and at Castel di Guido (latest Middle Pleistocene). According to Billia & Petronio (2009), the first occurrence of *S. kirchbergensis* in Italy is referable to the Isernia FU, in the site of Ponte Milvio. However, most specimens from the Ponte Milvio described by Billia & Petronio (2009) and included in this work lack stratigraphic information on the labels (MPUR 1421-107 has only the note "Cava Alessandri", MPUR 1417-115 was recovered from a volcanoclastic level and MPUR 1445-27 has the generic information "Ponte Molle"). Moreover, some specimens show morphological and morphometric features closer to S. hundsheimensis than to S. kirchbergensis. Sala (1992) and Billia (2011) ascribed a fragmentary metapodial of large-sized rhinoceros from the Valdemino cave (Savona, Liguria) to S. cf. S. kirchbergensis. This cave was referred to the Middle Galerian on the basis of mammal assemblage which includes Microtus breccensis Giebel, 1874 and Allocricetus bursae Schaub, 1930 (Sala, 1992; Kotsakis et al., 2003; Billia & Petronio, 2009) but the specific attribution of the Valdemino rhino seems to be questionable because based on a fragmentary specimen. Then, the earliest occurrence of S. kirchbergensis s.s. in Italy is in the site of Visogliano, referable to MIS12 (Pandolfi et al., 2013) (Fig. 5). The species seems to be very common in Italy during the late Middle Pleistocene and it is recorded in several sites such as Riano (MIS10-8), Castel di Guido, Monte Sacro (terraced deposits) and Sedia del Diavolo (upper gravels, younger than 0.355 Ma) (Billia & Petronio, 2009; Pandolfi, 2011a, b). At present, S. kirchbergensis has never been recorded in the Late Pleistocene sites and several records of this species are actually referred to S. hemitoechus (Pandolfi & Petronio, 2011b) (Fig. 5).

The earliest occurrence of S. hemitoechus in the Italian Peninsula has been recently reported by Pandolfi et al. (2013) from the Campagna Romana, approximately at 0.500 Ma; the species seems to be very common in several late Middle and Late Pleistocene Italian localities. Guérin (1980) and Lacombat (2005, 2006a, b, 2009) recognised at least three evolutionary stages of the species: the first one, characterised by large size, is present in the Middle Pleistocene sites chronologically younger than the MIS11; the second one, characterised by small size, is present during the late Middle Pleistocene, until the MIS8; the third evolutionary stage, again characterised by large size, is present from MIS7 to the last glacial event. The remains of S. hemitoechus collected from the volcanoclastic deposits at Ponte Milvio show morphometric characters closer to the small specimens of the latest Middle Pleistocene. In Italy, Pandolfi & Petronio (2011b) reported the occurrence of this small-sized evolutionary stage of the species during the MIS10-8, while large size specimens are recorded during the MIS6 and during the Late Pleistocene (e.g., sites of Maspino, Vitinia upper levels, Grotta Lina) with the exception of the Salento Peninsula.

The co-occurrence of *S. kirchbergensis* and the smallsized *S. hemitoechus* suggests the correlation of Ponte Milvio volcanoclastic deposits with a time span referable with Torre in Pietra FU. This correlation also agrees with the presence in the site of the two species of archaic fallow deer (*D. clactoniana* and *D. dama tiberina*) (Di Stefano G. pers. comm.; see also discussion in Palombo et al., 2004) (Fig. 5) and with the age of several terraced fossiliferous deposits of the Tiber and Aniene Rivers near Ponte Milvio. These terraced deposits cover a bed of Tufo Litoide Lionato dated approximately to 0.355 Ma (e.g., Monte Sacro, Prati Fiscali, Sedia del Diavolo and others; see Caloi et al., 1998 and Palombo et al., 2004).

CONCLUSIONS

A large number of vertebrate fossil remains were collected between the end of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th century from the deposits cropping out near Rome (e.g., Ponzi, 1878; Portis, 1893; Meli, 1896). The fossil remains were mainly referred to the Middle Pleistocene and were found in terraced deposits of the Tiber River and its tributaries (Di Stefano et al., 1998; Petronio et al., 2011). The biochronologic significance of the taxa recovered from these localities, combined with the few lithological information reported in the labels of the specimens, can be used to restore the stratigraphical succession of the fossiliferous localities. The paleontological analysis of the rhinoceros remains collected in the terraced deposits of the Tiber River at Ponte Milvio allows to identify three species: S. hundsheimensis, S. hemitoechus and S. kirchbergensis.

1. The specimens ascribed to *S. hundsheimensis* were collected in the gravels and sands of Ponte Milvio. They have morphological and morphometric affinities close to the latest Early Pleistocene individuals of the species. The occurrence of the first evolutionary stage of *S. hundsheimensis* allows to refer the gravels and sands to the Early-Middle Pleistocene transition (Slivia or Ponte Galeria FUs?); this age is also supported by the occurrence in the site of *H. galerianus* and *C. elephus acoronatus*.

2. The co-occurrence of *S. hemitoechus* and *S. kirchbergensis* in the volcanoclastic deposit, allows to refer this level to the late Middle Pleistocene (Torre in Pietra FU). This age is also supported by the occurrence of archaic fallow deer and by the presence of volcanoclastic materials. Furthermore, *S. kirchbergensis* is unknown in Italy during the Late Pleistocene and its first occurrence is surely younger than MIS12.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wish to thank Riccardo Manni and Linda Riti (Museo Paleontologico, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Sapienza, Università di Roma), Carmelo Petronio (Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Sapienza, Università di Roma), Maria Cristina de Angelis (Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici per l'Umbria), Elisabetta Cioppi (Museo di Storia Naturale di Firenze, sezione di Geologia e Paleontologia), and Ruggero Francescangeli and Vincenza Montenegro (Museo di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Bari). I also thank G. Di Stefano and L. Maiorino for English review.

Finally, I acknowledge L. Bonfiglio, F. Lacombat, and A. Rosso, R. Sanfilippo, M. Balini and A. Ferretti for their comments and constructive criticism that improved the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Ambrosetti P., Bartolomei G., De Giuli C., Ficcarelli G. & Torre D. (1979). La breccia ossifera di Slivia (Aurisina-Sistiana) nel Carso di Trieste. *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 18: 207-220.

- Ambrosetti P. & Bonadonna F.P. (1967). Revisione dei dati sul Plio-Pleistocene di Roma. Atti Accademia Gioenia di Scienze Naturali in Catania, 18: 33-81.
- Beliajeva E.I. (1966). Rhinocerotidae. In Vangengeim E.A., Beliajeva E.I., Garutt V.E., Dmitrieva E.L. & Zazhibin V.S. (eds), Eopleistocene mammals of western Tranbaikalia. Nauka Moscou 152: 1-163 (in Russian).
- Beninde J. (1937). Über die Edelhirschformen von Mosbach, Mauer und Steinheim a. d. Murr. Paläontologische Zeitschriften, 19: 79-116.
- Billia E.M.E. (2011). Occurrences of Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger, 1839) (Mammalia, Rhinocerotidae) in Eurasia - an account. Acta Palaeontologica Romaniae, 7: 17-40.
- Billia E.M.E. & Petronio C. (2009). Selected records of Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger 1839) (Mammalia, Rhinocerotidae) in Italy. Bollettino della Società Palentologica Italiana, 48: 21-32.
- Blumenbach J. F. (1799). Handbuch der Naturgeschichte, 6. Sechste Auflage. Nebst zwey Kupfertafeln, 708 pp. Göttingen.
- Borsuk-Bialynicka M. & Jakubowski G. (1972). The skull of Dicerorhinus mercki (Jäger) from Warsaw. Prace Muzeum Ziemi, 20: 187-199.
- Brandt J.F. (1877). Versuch einer Monographie der Tichorhinen Nashorner nebst Bemerkungen uber Rhinoceros leptorhinus Cuvier. Mémoires de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Petersbourg, Serie 7, 24: 1-135.
- Brandt J.F. (1878). Tentamen synopseos Rhinocerotidum viventium et fossilium. Mémoires de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Pétersbourg, Serie 7, 26: 260-265.
- Bronn H.G. (1831). Über die fossilen Zähne eines neuen Geschlechtes aus der Dickhäuter-Ordnung Coelodonta Höhlenzahn. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Geognosie, Geologie und Petrefakten-Kunde, 2: 51-61.
- Caloi L., Palombo M.R. & Zarlenga F. (1998). Late Middle Pleistocene mammal faunas of Lazio (Central Italy): stratigraphy and environment. *Quaternary International*, 47/48: 77-86.
- Capasso Barbato L., Di Stefano G., Petronio C. & Sardella R. (1998). Pleistocene mammal faunas from Ponte Molle (Rome). *Quaternary International*, 47/48: 73-75.
- Cerdeño E. (1990). Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Falc.) (Rhinocerolidae, Mammalia) del Pleistoceno medio y superior de Espana. Estudios Geologicos, 46: 465-479.
- Christol J. de (1834). Recherches sur les caractères des grandes espèces de Rhinocéros fossiles. 70 pp. Matel Ainé J. édit., Montpellier.
- Cigala-Fulgosi F. (1976). *Dicerorhinus hemitoechus* (Falconer) del Post-Villafranchiano fluvio-lacustre del Torrente Stirone (Salsomaggiore, Parma). *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 15: 59-72.
- Dawkins W.B. (1867). On the dentition of *Rhinoceros leptorhinus*. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society*, 23: 213-227.
- Deng T., Wang X., Fortelius F., Li Q., Wang Y., Tseng Z.J., Takeuchi G.T., Saylor J.E., Saila L.K. & Xie G. (2011). Out of Tibet: Pliocene woolly rhino suggests high-plateau origin of ice age megaherbivores. *Science*, 333: 1285-1288.
- Di Stefano G. & Petronio C. (1997). Origin and evolution of the European fallow deer (*Dama*, Pleistocene). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie*, 203: 57-75.
- Di Stefano G., Petronio C. & Sardella R. (1998). Biochronology of the Pleistocene mammal faunas from Rome urban area. *Il Quaternario*, 11: 191-199.
- Dubrovo I.A. (1964). Elephants of the genus *Archidiskodon* in the USSR territory. *Paleontologicheskiy Zhumal*, 3: 82-94 (in Russian).
- Falconer H. (1859). In Gaudin C.T. (ed.), Modifications apportés par Mr. Falconer a la faune du Val d'Arno. Bulletin de la Société Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles, 6: 130-131.
- Falconer H. (1868). Palaeontological memoirs and notes. In Murchison C. (ed.), Palaeontological memoirs and notes of the late Hugh Falconer, vol. 2. 675 pp. London.

- Fortelius M., Mazza P. & Sala B. (1993). Stephanorhinus (Mammalia, Rhinocerotidae) of the Western European Pleistocene, with a special revision of Stephanorhinus etruscus (Falconer 1868). Paleontographia italica, 80: 63-155.
- Freudenberg W. (1914). Die Saugetiere des alteren quartars von Mitteleuropa. *Geologische und Palaeontologische Abhandlungen*, 12: 1-219.
- Giebel C.G. (1874). Fauna der Vorwelt mit steter Berücksichtigung der lebenden Thiere, 1. Vögel und Amphibien. 467 pp. Brockhaus, Leipzig.
- Gloger C.W.L. (1841). Gemeinnütziges Hand- und Hilfsbuch der Naturgeschichte, Volume 1. 495 pp. August Schultz & Company, Breslau.
- Groves C.P. (1983). Phylogeny of the living species of rhinoceros. Zeitschriften Zoologische Systematik und Evolutionsforschungen, 21: 293-313.
- Guérin C. (1972). Une nouvelle espèce de rhinocéros (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) à Vialette (Haute-Loire, France) et dans d'autres gisements du Villafranchien inférieur européen: *Dicerorhinus jeanvireti* n. sp. *Documents du Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon*, 49: 53-150.
- Guérin C. (1973). Les trois espèces de Rhinocéros (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) du gisement Pléistocène Moyen des Abimes de la Fage a Noailles (Corrèze). Nouvelles Archives du Musée d'Histoire Naturelle de Lyon, 11: 55-84.
- Guérin C. (1980). Les rhinocéros (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) du Miocène terminal au Pléistocène supérieur en Europe occidentale. Comparaison avec les espèces actuelles. *Documents* du Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon, 79: 1-1185.
- Guérin C. (1983). Les rhinocéros (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) du gisement Pléistocène Moyen de Vergranne (Doubs). Annales Scientifiques de l'Université de Franche-Comté - Besançon, Géologie, 5: 47-67.
- Guérin C. (1989). La famille des Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia, Perissodactyla): systématique, histoire, évolution, paléoécologie. *Cranium*, 6: 3-14.
- Guérin C. (2010). Coelodonta antiquitatis praecursor (Rhinocerotidae) du Pléistocène moyen final de l'aven de Romain-la-Roche (Doubs, France). Revue de Paléobiologie, 29: 697-746.
- Heissig K. (1973). Die Unterfamilien und Tribus der rezenten und fossilen Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia). Saugetierkundliche Mitteilungen, 21: 25-30.
- Heissig K. (1981). Probleme bei der cladistischen analyse einer gruppe mit wenigen eindeutigen apomorphen Rhinocerotidae. *Palaeontologisches Zeitschrift*, 55: 117-123.
- Jäger G.F. (1839). Über die fossilen Säugethiere welche in Würtemberg in verschiedenen Formationen aufgefunden worden sind, nebst geognotischen Bemerkungen über diese Formationen. 214 pp. Carl Erhard, Stuttgart.
- Kahlke H.D. (1965). Die Rhinocerotiden-resten aus den tonen von Voigtstedt in Thuringen. Paläontologische Abhandlungen A, 2-3: 451-520.
- Kahlke H.D. (1975). Die Rhinoceotiden-Reste aus den Travertinen von Weimar-Ehringsdorf. Paläontologische Abhanlungen A, 23: 337-398.
- Kahlke H.D. (1977). Die Rhinoceotiden-Reste aus den Travertinen von Taubach. *Ouätarpaläontologie*, 2: 305-359.
- Kahlke R.D. (2001). Die Unterpleistozäne Komplexfundstelle Untermaßfeld. Zusammenfassung Des kenntnisstandes Sowie Synthetische Betrachtungen Zu Genesemodell, Paläoökologie und Stratigraphie. In Kahlke R.D. (ed.), Das Pleistozän von Untermaßfeld bei Meiningen (Thüringen). Monographien des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums, Band 40, 2: 931-1030.
- Kahlke R.D. & Lacombat F. (2008). The earliest immigration of woolly rhinoceros (*Coelodonta tologoijensis*, Rhinocerotidae, Mammalia) into Europe and its adaptive evolution in Palaearctic cold stage mammal faunas. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 27: 1951-1961.

- Kaup J.J. (1841). Akten der Urwelt oder Osteologie der urweltlichen Säugethiere und Amphibien. 54 pp. Verlag des herausgegebers, Darmstadt.
- Kotsakis T., Abbazzi L., Angelone C., Argenti P., Barisone G., Fanfani F., Marcolini F. & Masini F. (2003). Plio-Pleistocene biogeography of Italian mainland micromammals. *Deinsea*, 10: 313-342.
- Kretzoi M. (1942). Bemerkungen zum System der nachmiozänen Nashorn-Gattungen. *Földtani Közlöny*, 72: 4-12.
- Lacombat F. (2005). Les rhinocéros fossiles des sites préhistoriques de l'Europe méditerranéenne et du Massif central. Paléontologie et implications biochronologiques. *British Archaeological Reports*, 1419: 1-175.
- Lacombat F. (2006a). Pleistocene Rhinoceroses in Mediterranean Europe and in Massif Central. *In*: Kahlke R.D., Maul L.C. & Mazza P. (eds), Late Neogene and Quaternary biodiversity and evolution: Regional developments and interregional correlations. Proceedings of the 18th International Senckenberg Conference (VI International Palaeontological Colloquium in Weimar). *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg*, 256: 57-69.
- Lacombat F. (2006b). Morphological and biometrical differentiation of the teeth from Pleistocene species of *Stephanorhinus* (Mammalia, Perissodactyla, Rhinocerotidae) in Mediterranean Europe and Massif Central, France. *Palaeontographica Abteilung A: Paläozoologie - Stratigraphie*, 274: 71-111.
- Lacombat F. (2007). Phylogeny of the genus Stephanorhinus in the Plio-Pleistocene of Europe. Hallesches Jahrbuch fur Geowissenschaften, 23: 63-64.
- Lacombat F. (2009). Biochronologie et grands mammifères au Pléistocène moyen et supérieur en Europe occidentale: l'apport des Rhinocerotidae (genre *Stephanorhinus*). *Quaternaire*, 20: 429-435.
- Linnaeus C. (1758). Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. 824 pp. Laurentius Salvius, Stockholm.
- Made J. van der (2010). The rhinos from the Middle Pleistocene of Neumark-Nord (Saxony-Anhalt). In Neumark-Nord: Ein interglaziales Ökosystem des mittelpaläolithischen Menschen. Veröffentlichungen des Landesmuseums für Vorgeschichte, 62: 433-527.
- Marra F. & Rosa C. (1995). Stratigrafia e assetto geologico dell'area romana. *Memorie Descrittive della Carta Geologica d'Italia*, 50: 49-118.
- Marra F., Rosa C., De Rita D. & Funiciello R. (1998). Stratigraphic and tectonic features of the Middle Pleistocene sedimentary and volcanic deposits in the area of Rome (Italy). *Quaternary International*, 47/48: 51-63.
- Martinez-Navarro B. & Palombo M.R. (2007). The horn-core of *Hemibos galerianus* from Ponte Milvio, Rome (Italy). *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, 113: 531-534.
- Maviglia C. (1955). Ossami fossili trovati nella Grotta delle Striare (Otranto). Natura, 46: 92-95.
- Mazza P., Sala B. & Fortelius M. (1993). A small latest Villafranchian (Late Early Pleistocene) rhinoceros from Pietrafitta (Perugia, Umbria, central Italy), with notes on the Pirro and Westerhoven rhinoceroses. *Paleontographia italica*, 80: 25-50.
- Meli R. (1896). Notizie sopra alcuni resti di mammiferi (ossa e denti isolati) quaternari, rinvenuti nei dintorni di Roma. *Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana*, 15: 291-296.
- Meyer H. von (1864). Die diluvialen Rhinoceros-Arten. Palaeontographica, 11: 233-283.
- Moigne A.M., Palombo M.R., Belda V., Heriech-Briki D., Kacimi S., Lacombat F., Lumley M.A. de, Moutoussamy J., Rivals F., Quiles J. & Testu A. (2006). Les faunes de grands mammifères de la Caune de l'Arago (Tautavel) dans le cadre biochronologique des faunes du Pléistocène moyen italien. L'Anthropologie, 110: 788-831.

- Osborn H.F. (1900). Phylogeny of the rhinoceroses of Europe. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 13: 229-267.
- Palombo M.R., Milli S. & Rosa C. (2004). Remarks on the biochronology of the late Middle Pleistocene mammalian faunal complexes of the Campagna Romana (Latium, Italy). *Geologica Romana*, 37: 1-16.
- Pandolfi L. (2011a). Stephanorhinus kirchbergensis (Jäger, 1839) from the Middle Pleistocene site of Riano (Roma, Central Italy). *Il Quaternario*, 24: 103-112.
- Pandolfi L. (2011b). Il cranio di Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Falconer, 1859) di Fosso Malafede (Vitinia, Roma) con note sulla prima presenza della specie in Italia. Il Quaternario, 24: 25-32.
- Pandolfi L. & Petronio C. (2011a). Stephanorhinus etruscus (Falconer, 1868) from Pirro Nord (Apricena, Foggia, Southern Italy) with notes on the late Early Pleistocene rhinoceroses of Italy. Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia, 117: 173-187.
- Pandolfi L. & Petronio C. (2011b). The small-sized rhinoceroses from the late Pleistocene of Apulia (southern Italy). *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, 117: 509-520.
- Pandolfi L. & Tagliacozzo A. (2013). Earliest occurrence of woolly rhino (*Coelodonta antiquitatis*) in Italy (Late Pleistocene, Grotta Romanelli site). *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, 119: 125-129.
- Pandolfi L., Gaeta M. & Petronio C. (2013). The skull of Stephanorhinus hemitoechus (Mammalia, Rhinocerotidae) from the Middle Pleistocene of Campagna Romana (Rome, Central Italy): biochronological and paleobiogeographic implications. Bulletin of Geoscience, 88: 51-62.
- Petronio C. & Sardella R. (1998). Bos galerianus n. sp. (Bovidae, Mammalia) from the Ponte Galeria Formation (Rome, Italy). Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, 5: 269-284.
- Petronio C., Bellucci L., Martinetto E., Pandolfi L. & Salari L. (2011). Biochronology and Palaeoenvironmental Changes from the Middle Pliocene to the Late Pleistocene in Central Italy. *Geodiversitas*, 33: 485-517.
- Ponzi G. (1867). Storia fisica del bacino di Roma. Atti Accademia Pontificia Nuovi Lincei, 20: 1-20.
- Ponzi G. (1878). Le ossa fossili subappennine dei dintorni di Roma. Rendiconti Accademia dei Lincei, s. 3, Memorie Classe Scienze Fisiche Matematiche Naturali, 2: 1-30.
- Portis A. (1893). Storia fisica del Bacino di Roma e studi sopra l'estensione da darsi al Pliocene superiore. 513 pp. Roux Trassati & Co., Torino.
- Regalia E. (1907). Sull'Equus (Asinus) hydruntinus Regalia della Grotta di Romanelli (Castro, Lecce). Archivio per l'Antropologia e l'Etnologia, 37: 375-390.
- Rosenmüller J.C. (1794). Quaedam de ossibus fossilibus ani-malis cuiusdam, histori-am eius et cognitionem accuratiorem illustrantia. 34 pp. Sommer, Leipzig.
- Sala B. (1992). I mammiferi del Quaternario italiano. In Tugnoli C. (ed.), I Segni del Tempo - Memoria delle Origini e Icone del Primordiale. Atti del Corso di Aggiornamento per Personale Docente: 209-227.
- Schaub S. (1930). Quartare und jungtertiare Hamster. Abhandlungen der Schweizerischen Palaeontologischen Gesellschaft Geneve, 49: 1-50.
- Schreiber H.D. (2005). Osteological investigations on skeleton material of Rhinoceroses (Rhinocerotidae, Mammalia) from the early Middle Pleistocene locality of Mauer near Heidelberg (SW-Germany). *Quaternaire, hors série*, 2: 103-111.
- Schroeder H. (1903). Die Wirbelthier-Fauna des Mosbacher Sandes – 1. Gattung Rhinoceros. Abhandlungen der Königlich Preussischen Geologischen Landesanstalt, NF 18: 1-143.
- Schroeder H. (1930). Über Rhinoceros mercki und seine nord- und mitteldeutschen Fundstellen. Abhandlungen der Königlich Preussischen Geologischen Landesanstalt, NF 124: 1-114.

- Sottili G., Palladino D.M., Gaeta M. & Masotta M. (2011). Origins and energetics of maar volcanoes: examples from the ultrapotassic Sabatini Volcanic District (Roman Province, Central Italy). *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 74: 163-186.
- Sottili G., Palladino D.M., Marra F., Jicha B., Karner D.B. & Renne P. (2010). Geochronology of the most recent activity in the Sabatini Volcanic District, Roman Province, central Italy. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 196: 20-30.
- Staesche K. (1941). Nashorner der Gattung Dicerorhinus aus Diluvium dem Wurttembergs. Abhandlungen der Reichsstelle fur Bodenforschung, 200: 1-149.
- Toula F. (1902). Das Nashorn von Hundsheim Rhinoceros (Ceratorhinus Osborn) hundsheimensis nov. form. mit Ausführungen über die Verhältnisse von elf Schädeln von Rhinoceros (Ceratorhinus) sumatrensis. Abhandlungen der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Geologischen Reichsanstalt, 19: 1-92.
- Toula F. (1906). Das Gebiss und Reste der Nasenbeine von Rhinoceros (Ceratorhinus Osborn) hundsheimensis. Abhandlungen der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Geologischen Reichsanstalt, 20: 1-38.

- Woodward H. (1874). On the remains of *Rhinoceros leptorhinus* Owen, (*Rh. hemitoechus*, Falconer) in the collection of Sir Anthony Brady FGS from the Pleistocene deposits of the Valley of the Thames at Ilford, Essex. *Geological Magazine*, 1: 398-404.
- Wüst E. (1911). Zwei bemerkenswerte Rhinoceros-Schadel aus dem Pliozan Thuringens. *Palaeontographica*, 58: 133-138.
- Wüst E. (1922). Beitrage zur Kenntnis der diluvianen Nashorner Europas. Centralblatt fur Mineralogie, Geologie und Palaontologie, 20-21: 641-656, 680-688.
- Manuscript received 11 September 2012 Revised manuscript accepted 18 December 2013 Published online 30 December 2013