## NEBRASKA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

VOLUME 7, PART 3

## A NEW GENUS AND SPECIES OF RHINOCEROS<sup>1</sup>

EPIAPHELOPS VIRGASECTUS.

FROM THE LOWER MIOCENE OF NEBRASKA.

BY HAROLD JAMES COOK.

EPIAPHELOPS VIRGASECTUS.

Dental Formula, M.3, P.4, C.0, I.1.

Type, right lower jaw, and anterior portion of left lower jaw, No. HC265, collection of the writer.

This genus of the early Miocene Rhinocerotidae is a somewhat unexpected type in the beds where it occurs. Certain fragmentary remains of this, or a closely allied form, have been found, but nothing up to date which seemed worth describing. Among the known forms of the American Oligocene, there seems to be nothing strictly prophetic of it.

In the most typical rhinoceros known from the White River beds, Caenopus<sup>2</sup>, we already find a reduction in the lower grinding dentition to six functional teeth. In C. platycephalum<sup>3</sup>, we find the first lower premolar present, but vestigeal, and of a variable character. In the type of Epiaphelops, the first premolar is of good size, and is a functional grinding tooth. Likewise, while the present species is more primitive than C. platycephalum in this respect, E. virgasectus has the typical development of the premolar cusps, not the atypical structure found in platycephalum. Therefore, while there are several superficial similarities between these two, they are analogies, and Epiaphelops virgasectus has obviously descended from a more primitive ancestral stock than Caenopus.

It may have descended from some such stock as *Trigonias* osborni<sup>‡</sup>, Lucas, but comparison of these forms at present appears unprofitable. It is perhaps most closely related, among known forms,

(1) Epi | aphel | ops virga | sectus  ${}^{\prime}\epsilon\pi i$  |  ${}^{\prime}\alpha\phi\epsilon\lambda\eta s$  |  ${}^{\prime}o\psi$  | Near | smooth | face twig | cutting

Notice of a new Genus of Rhinoceros from the Lower Miocene. Science, N. S., Vol. XXXV., No. 893, pp. 219-220, Feb. 9, 1912.

- (2) Cope, E. D., Am. Nat. XIV, 611, Aug. 1880.
- (3) Osborn, H. F., Mem. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. Vol. I, Pt. III, 1898.
- (4) Hatcher, J. B., Vol. 7, Part 3 An. Carn. Mus. Vol. I, Pt. III, 1901.

to Aphelops megalodum, hence the name. It is separated from this form by the presence of a functional  $P_1$ , a more brachyodont dentition, and a heavy cingulum, which is developed least on the last molar. Likewise, the last molar shows the greatest tendency to become hypsodont.

Epiaphelops virgasectus is somewhat larger than Aphelops megalodum. Additional good material, judging from fragmentary specimens, will show other characters by which this form will be more clearly characterized. It represents an earlier stage in the approximate ancestral line of Aphelops, and may well be a migrant, rather than a direct descendent of any American Oligocene stock.


This specimen was secured by the writer during August, 1911, about eighteen miles east of Agate, Nebraska, in one of the old channel beds which are probably a phase of the Upper Harrison. However they contain many types also found in the Lower Harrison, (including Moropus, Dinohyus, Diceratherium, etc., in species found in the Lower Harrison), and the stratigraphy is such as to make correlation difficult. The writer has also found fragments of *Epiaphelops* in the typical Lower Harrison.

## EPIAPHELOPS VIRGASECTUS.

LITAL HELOTS VINONSECTOS.	
Measurements of teeth.	Type.
M <sub>1</sub> antero-posterior diameter42	m. m.
M <sub>1</sub> transverse diameter31	
M <sub>2</sub> antero-posterior diameter44	
M <sub>2</sub> transverse diameter31	
M <sub>3</sub> antero-posterior diameter	
M <sub>3</sub> transverse diameter31	
P <sub>1</sub> antero-posterior diameter	
P <sub>1</sub> transverse diameter	
P <sub>2</sub> antero-posterior diameter	
P <sub>2</sub> transverse diameter	
P <sub>3</sub> antero-posterior diameter32	
P <sub>3</sub> transverse diameter	
P <sub>4</sub> antero-posterior diameter	
P <sub>4</sub> transverse diameter	
Incisor, antero-posterior diameter at enamel base	m. m.
Incisor, transverse diameter at enamel base	m. m.
Incisor, length from base of enamel96	m. m.
*Approximate measurement.	
Measurements of jaw.	Type.
Depth of jaw at base of M384	m. m.
Width of jaw below base of M348	m. m.
Depth of jaw below base of P264	m. m.
Width of jaw below base of $P_2$	m. m.
Length of mandibular symphasis75	m. m.

Agate, Nebr., Dec. 1911.

Distributed June, 1912.



EPIAPHELOPS VIRGASECTUS, COOK. X%.